

IRIS, a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition undergoing endovascular treatment in acute anterior circulation ischaemic stroke: study rationale and design

Xuehong Chu,¹ Zhengfei Ma,² Yifeng Liu,³ Jun Sun,³ Ning Wang,³ Chaoqun Li,⁴ Xiangyang Feng,⁴ Jianqiao Li,⁵ Benxiao Wang,⁶ Chen Zhou,⁷ Chuanhui Li,¹ Wenbo Zhao,¹ Xunming Ji ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Chuanjie Wu ⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT

To cite: Chu X, Ma Z, Liu Y, *et al.* IRIS, a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition undergoing endovascular treatment in acute anterior circulation ischaemic stroke: study rationale and design. *Stroke & Vascular Neurology* 2024;**0**. doi:10.1136/svn-2024-003574

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ svn-2024-003574).

Received 23 July 2024 Accepted 2 November 2024



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Chuanjie Wu; wuchuanjie@ccmu.edu.cn **Rationale** Neuroprotective strategies based on reperfusion therapy hold substantial promise for acute ischaemic stroke (AIS). Preclinical research indicates that tocilizumab, an interleukin-6 receptor antagonist, can attenuate ischaemia-reperfusion damage by exerting antiinflammatory and neuroprotective effects.

Aim To determine tocilizumab's efficacy and safety when combined with endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) in patients with acute anterior circulation large vessel occlusion (LVO).

Sample size estimates To determine a 30% decrease in average infarct core volume comparing the intervention and historical control groups (mean increase of 18.7 mL (SD=9.7 mL) post-thrombectomy) via a two-sided test (alpha=0.05, power=80%), accounting for a 10% drop-out rate, we plan to recruit 108 participants.

Methods and design This trial is designed as a randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Patients will be randomly and evenly allocated to the tocilizumab or placebo groups.

Study outcomes The primary endpoint is the change in infarct core volume between baseline and 72 hours post-treatment. Secondary outcomes include the 90-day modified Rankin scale score (0–2, indicating functional independence). The key safety endpoints include 90-day mortality and symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage within 72 hours after EVT.

Discussion Administering tocilizumab within 24 hours of stroke as an adjunct to EVT may effectively reduce the infarct core volume for patients experiencing AIS with anterior circulation LVO, potentially improving functional outcomes in these patients.

INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE

Recent advances in endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) have substantially improved reperfusion rates among patients with acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) secondary to large vessel occlusion (LVO); nevertheless, five

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Preclinical research indicates that tocilizumab may mitigate reperfusion damage and offer neuroprotective effects. However, the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) undergoing endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) remain to be elucidated.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ This is the first trial to investigate the use of tocilizumab as an adjunctive therapy to thrombectomy in patients with AIS.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ This study will provide insightful data on tocilizumab use alongside EVT in patients with AIS.

landmark EVT studies have indicated poor functional outcomes (>50%).¹ This discrepancy may be associated with futile recanalisation and ischaemia-reperfusion injury driven by the inflammatory response² mediated by interleukin-6 (IL-6), an immune cell pleiotropic cytokine. Increased serum IL-6 levels predict larger infarct sizes and poorer outcomes in patients who had a stroke.³

Tocilizumab, a humanised monoclonal antibody that targets the IL-6 receptor (both membrane-bound and soluble), inhibits IL-6 signalling and the resulting inflammatory cascade.⁴ Tocilizumab has a half-life ranging from 5 to 13 days, and its clearance is dose-dependent, being non-linear at lower doses and linear at higher doses. This pharmacokinetic profile allows for sustained IL-6 inhibition.⁵ During stroke reperfusion, inflammation contributes significantly to



1

Open access

worsening brain damage. The migration of activated peripheral leucocytes into brain tissue contributes to the blood–brain barrier (BBB) disruption, cerebral oedema and microcirculatory impairment, ultimately leading to further brain damage.⁶ Neutrophils and macrophages are a significant source of matrix metalloproteinases, which degrade the vascular basement membrane, exacerbating BBB disruption, haemorrhagic transformation (HT) and cerebral oedema.⁷ By inhibiting IL-6 signalling, tocilizumab may reduce inflammatory cell activation, decrease MMP release and mitigate BBB disruption, thus lowering the risk of oedema and HT while providing neuroprotective effects.

Preclinical research has demonstrated that tocilizumab decreases infarct volume and improves disease severity in rodent transient middle cerebral artery occlusion models.^{8 9} Given the strong influence of infarct volume on clinical outcomes in patients with AIS, we hypothesise that tocilizumab may improve outcomes by reducing infarct volume.

Until now, no clinical study has demonstrated the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in AIS patients postrecanalisation. The IRIS (Interleukin-6 Receptor Inhibition in Stroke) trial will evaluate the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in patients with AIS experiencing anterior circulation LVO and undergoing thrombectomy.

METHODS

Trial design

IRIS is a phase 2, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial assessing the efficacy and safety of early adjunctive tocilizumab in patients with anterior circulation LVO stroke undergoing thrombectomy. Informed consent for participation in this study was gained from all participants or their legal representatives. Figure 1 shows the patient flow diagram.

Patient population

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Between 18 and 80 years old.
- 2. AIS due to intracranial carotid, M1 or M2 middle cerebral artery occlusion.
- 3. National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score of ≤6.
- 4. Meeting the criteria for EVT.
- 1. Alberta Stroke Programme Early CT Score (ASPECTS) of ≥6, EVT within 6 hour of onset.
- 2. Onset 6–16 hours, fulfilling the specifications of either DEFUSE-3 (infarct core <70 mL, mismatch ratio ≥1.8 and mismatch volume >15 mL) or DAWN (NIHSS ≥10 with infarct core <31 mL or NIHSS ≥20 with infarct core 31–51 mL).
- 3. Onset 16–24 hours, meeting the DAWN inclusion criteria.
- 4. For ASPECTS<6, eligibility requires meeting one of these criteria: RESCUE-Japan LIMIT: ASPECTS 3–5; and (onset ≤6 hours or 6–24 hours with no



Figure 1 Study flow chart. AIS, acute ischaemic stroke; EVT, endovascular therapy; ICA, intracranial carotid artery; ICH, intracranial cerebral haemorrhage; M1, first segment of the middle cerebral artery; M2, second segment of the middle cerebral artery; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS score, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale.

fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) changes); ANGEL-ASPECT: (onset ≤24 hours and ASPECTS 3–5) or (onset ≤24 hours, ASPECTS 0–2 and core 70–100 mL) or (onset 6–24 hours, ASPECTS>5 and core 70– 100 mL); SELECT2: ASPECTS 3–5 or core >50 mL

- 1. Administration of experimental drug is possible ≤24 hours poststroke.
- 2. Informed consent is obtained from all participants and/or their legally authorised representatives.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Any intracranial haemorrhage on head CT (including epidural, subdural, intraventricular or subarachnoid).
- 2. Prestroke modified Rankin scale (mRS) rating>1.
- 3. Allergic reaction to tocilizumab or any of its inactive ingredients.
- 4. Iodinated contrast agents allergy.
- 5. Potential difficulties completing EVT secondary to vascular tortuosity.
- 6. History of any bleeding disorders (congenital or acquired), coagulopathy or thrombocytopenia.
- 7. Uncontrolled hypertension despite treatment (systolic blood pressure ≥180 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≥110 mm Hg).
- 8. Neutrophils $< 2 \times 10^9$ /L.

Open access

9. Platelets $<100 \times 10^9$ /L.

6

- 10. Blood glucose <2.8 mmol/L (50 mg/dL) or >22.2 mmol/L (400 mg/dL).
- 11. Elevated liver enzymes (alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase >1.5 times the upper limit of normal).
- 12. Impaired renal function (serum creatinine >1.5 times the upper limit of normal or estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min).
- 13. Pregnant, breast feeding or intending to become pregnant within 90 days.
- 14. Severe mental disorders or dementia preventing informed consent or follow-up compliance.
- 15. A life expectancy not exceeding 90 days due to a concurrent malignancy or severe systemic disease.
- 16. Autoimmune diseases or use of immunosuppressive drugs.
- 17. Active, chronic or recurrent infectious disease, including tuberculosis, HIV or hepatitis B/C.
- 18. Enrolment in an interventional clinical study during the 30 days prior to randomisation.

Randomisation

Eligible participants are randomized 1:1 via interactive web-based response system to receive either intravenous tocilizumab or placebo. Allocation is based on a computer-generated, unpredictable sequence, initiated after eligibility verification. Randomisation is stratified by the participating centre. Participants receive a unique serial number by order of randomisation and are administered the respective blinded medications.

Treatments

Eligible patients receive a single-dose intravenous infusion of tocilizumab (20 mg/mL tocilizumab (240 mg in 12 mL 0.9% NaCl) plus 88 mL of 0.9% NaCl) or placebo (100 mL of 0.9% NaCl) for >1 hour. Tocilizumab and placebo solutions exhibit similar appearances. Both treatments are blindly administered within 1 hour after randomisation. Intravenous thrombolysis with alteplase is allowed if indicated. Thrombectomy should be performed promptly in all patients without requiring specific procedures or equipment. On thrombectomy failure, the attending physician may employ salvage techniques tailored to the patient's clinical presentation. Perioperative management is performed according to the American Heart Association/The American Stroke Association (AHA/ ASA) guidelines. Patients, investigators and study staff are blinded to treatment allocation.

Study schedule

At treatment, baseline data are collected, including patient demographics, medical history, laboratory results, stroke severity and neurological deficits. Recorded data include pretreatment and post-treatment imaging, procedural details (EVT characteristics, complications and time metrics), presumed stroke cause and 90-day functional outcomes (table 1).

Imaging

Imaging is performed (either CT+CT angiography (CTA)+CT perfusion or MRI+MR angiography (MRA)) at each participating site. MRI scans include T1-weighted, T2-weighted, diffusion-weighted imaging, apparent diffusion coefficient and FLAIR sequences (online supplemental material 1).

Efficacy endpoints

The primary endpoint is the difference in infarct core volume between baseline to 72 hours post-treatment.

- Secondary endpoints include:
- 1. Early neurological improvement: NIHSS improvement ≥8 points or a score ≤2 within 24 hours.
- 2. 24 hours NIHSS score.
- 3. Rate of immediate postoperative modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (mTICI) 2b/3 reperfusion.
- 4. 72 hours recanalisation rate (CTA/MRA).
- 5. NIHSS score at 7 days.
- 6. 90-day functional independence rate (mRS≤2).
- 7. 90-day mRS shift analysis.
- 8. 90-day Barthel Index.
- 9. 90-day EuroQol 5 Dimensions (EQ-5D).

Safety endpoints

Safety endpoints include the incidence of cerebral haemorrhage and symptomatic cerebral haemorrhage at 72 hours postprocedure, incidence of stroke-associated pneumonia and decompressive craniectomy at 7 days and mortality rate at 90 days. Serious adverse events (SAEs) and AEs are documented throughout the study.

Data safety and monitoring board

Trial safety and progress are monitored by an independent data safety monitoring board (DSMB), including neurologist, neuroradiologist and methodologist, none of whom are affiliated with the study investigators. All SAEs are reviewed and evaluated by the DSMB, which advises the Steering Committee on whether to continue, pause or terminate the trial.

Sample size estimates

Sample size was calculated for a two-sided test (α =0.05, power=80%) comparing two independent means using PASS software. Based on a mean post-thrombectomy infarct core volume increase of 18.7 mL (variance 9.7) in historical controls and an observed infarct volume reduction of approximately 30% in a pilot study using the same tocilizumab dose (unpublished data), 48 participants per group (n=96 total) are required to detect a similar reduction. Accounting for a ≤10% drop-out rate, the final estimated sample size is 108.

Statistical considerations

The primary endpoint, core infarct volume change at 72 hours post-treatment, will be analysed applying a mixed linear effects model in the intention-to-treat population. Results will be reported as mean differences with 95% CIs. Outcomes will be adjusted for centre-specific effects

	Screening		Follow-up					
	Baseline	Randomisation	Immediately postoperative	24±6 hours	48±12 hours	72±12 hours	7 days±12 hour or at discharge	90±7 days
Inclusion/ exclusion criteria	х							
Informed consent	х							
Randomisation		х						
Demographics	х							
Medical history	х							
Previous medication	х							
Vital signs	х		х	х	х	Х	х	
Physical examination	х							
Laboratory tests	х			х	х	Х	х	
Prestroke mRS	х							
mRS								х
NIHSS score	х			х		Х	х	
Brain CT plus CTP/MRI	х							
CTA/MRA/DSA	х					Х		
Brain CT/MRI						Х		
ASPECTS	х							
mTICI score			х					
AOL grade						Х		
Comedication			х	х	х	Х	х	х
Barthel index								х
EQ-5D								х
			х	х	х	Х	х	х

by including centre as a random variable. For missing data due to stroke-related deaths, the maximum 72 hours core infarct volume is imputed. For other missing data, ≥100 imputations will be performed, including baseline age, NIHSS score, ASPECTS and treatment-related information as covariates. Each imputed dataset will be analysed independently, and Rubin's rules will be applied to combine the results and obtain the final estimates and standard errors. A prespecified subgroup analysis will assess treatment effect heterogeneity based on age (≤ 70 vs >70 years), baseline ASPECTS (≤ 5 vs >5), baseline NIHSS (<20 vs \geq 20), and intravenous thrombolysis (yes vs no). Additionally, a per-protocol sensitivity analysis will assess the impact of protocol adherence.

Other continuous outcomes (including NIHSS score at 24 hours and 7 days, 90-day Barthel Index, 90-day EQ-5D) will be analysed using the same mixed linear model employed for the primary endpoint. For variables with severe departures from normality, data transformation or more robust methods, including generalised estimating equations, will be considered.

Binary outcomes (including early neurological improvement, proportion of immediate postoperative successful reperfusion, 72 hours recanalisation rate (CTA/MRA), rate of cerebral haemorrhage or symptomatic cerebral haemorrhage at 72 hours, 7 day stroke-associated pneumonia rate, 7-day decompressive craniectomy rate, rate of patients achieving functional independence, mortality at 90 days and AE/SAEs at 90 days) will be analysed using log-binomial regression models and presented as relative risk. A robust Poisson regression will be used for nonconvergent models.

An ordinal logistic regression model will be applied to analyse the 90-day mRS score (ordinal). Results will be presented as ORs with 95% CIs. If the proportional-odds assumption is violated, partial proportional odds models or other nonparametric methods will be considered.

All tests will be analysed by using SAS V.9.4 with a two-tailed α =0.05.

Version

This study protocol is currently at V.1.1.

Data access policy

Data access requests should be submitted to the corresponding author and will be subject to review by the study team.

DISCUSSION

Although immunomodulatory therapies have shown promise in treating AIS, their efficacy remains unclear. For example, the sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor modulator fingolimod demonstrated potential benefits only in a small clinical trial; thus, larger studies are needed.¹⁰ Similarly, ApTOLL, a Toll-like receptor 4 antagonist and edaravone dexborneol, an antioxidant/anti-inflammatory compound, are promising anti-inflammatory treatments that warrant further investigation.^{11 12} However, in a large randomised controlled trial, methylprednisolone did not improve overall disability in patients with AIS with LVO undergoing EVT.¹³ Varied drug targets and study populations likely contributed to inconsistent findings in previous studies. Nevertheless, these findings highlight the potential of anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory therapies for AIS, particularly in patients undergoing reperfusion therapy.

IL-6 levels rapidly increase after ischaemic brain injury and contribute to various pathological processes, including inflammation, BBB disruption and neuronal apoptosis.¹⁴¹⁵ Clinical studies indicate that serum IL-6 levels in patients with AIS increase ≥ 24 hours postonset and are significantly correlated with infarct size and mortality.¹⁶⁻¹⁷ Tocilizumab is fast-acting and has relatively mild AE.¹⁸ The inflammatory response initiates within hours of ischaemia and persists for days to weeks.¹⁹ Therefore, this study employs a single intravenous dose of tocilizumab to achieve sustained IL-6 pathway inhibition during the critical inflammatory phase, thereby promoting prolonged anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects. To rigorously assess the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab, a placebo-controlled design is employed. Despite the potential concerns about withholding active treatment in a life-threatening condition, all patients in the study received standard care as per current guidelines, including EVT and secondary prevention strategies. The placebo control allows for a clear differentiation

between the true therapeutic effects of tocilizumab and any placebo effect, ensuring that the results are both scientifically robust and clinically meaningful.

Several clinical trials have indicated EVT to be effective and safe for patients with AIS exhibiting large core infarcts from anterior circulation LVO.²⁰⁻²² The purpose of this study is to comprehensively estimate the efficacy of tocilizumab and provide new treatment strategies for patients with AIS and large core infarcts, a population at higher risk of postprocedure complications.²³ Changes in infarct core volume by tocilizumab is the primary endpoint, which may have important implications for further investigation. $^{\rm 24\ 25} {\rm If}$ the combination of tocilizumab and EVT proves effective and safe in patients with acute anterior circulation LVO, it could provide critical evidence for the application of anti-inflammatory therapies in patients undergoing vascular recanalisation. Such findings could fill an existing therapeutic gap and have profound implications for stroke management strategies, potentially influencing updates to clinical guidelines. Thus, we plan to conduct a larger phase 3 clinical trial to further validate the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

If the IRIS hypothesis is confirmed, combining tocilizumab and EVT can reduce infarct volume in patients with anterior circulation LVO within 24 hours poststroke, potentially improving functional outcomes.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Neurology, Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

²Department of Neurology, Suzhou Municipal Hospital of Anhui Province, Suzhou, Anhui, China

³Department of Neurology, Nanyang Central Hospital, Nanyang, Henan, China
⁴Department of Neurology, Xihua County People's Hospital, Zhoukou, Henan, China
⁵Department of Neurology, Sui Xi County Hospita, Anhui Province, China
⁶Anhui Wanbei Coal Electricity Group General Hospital, Suzhou, China
⁷Beijing Institute of Brain Disorders, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Contributors The manuscript was drafted by XC. ZM, YL, JS, NW, ChaoqunL, XF, JL and BW conducted the study, while CZ, ChuanhuiL, WZ, XJ, ZM and CW designed it. XC and ZM contributed equally to this paper. All authors critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. CW is the guarantor.

Funding This work was funded by Beijing Physician Scientist Training Project (BJPSTP-2024-04), National Natural Science Foundation of China (8207146882271507), Beijing Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (JQ24041)

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Beijing Institute of Brain Disorders, Capital Medical University, 2024001. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed. Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. Data access requests should be submitted to the corresponding author and will be subject to review by the study team.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and

Open access

responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Xunming Ji http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0293-2744 Chuanjie Wu http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0494-416X

REFERENCES

- 1 Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet* 2016;387:1723–31.
- 2 Stoll G, Pham M. Beyond recanalization a call for action in acute stroke. Nat Rev Neurol 2020;16:591–2.
- 3 Waje-Andreassen U, Kråkenes J, Ulvestad E, et al. IL-6: an early marker for outcome in acute ischemic stroke. Acta Neurol Scand 2005;111:360–5.
- 4 Scott LJ. Tocilizumab: A Review in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Drugs* (*Abingdon Engl*) 2017;77:1865–79.
- 5 Preuss CV, StatPearls Aft. *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing LLC, 2024.
- 6 Enzmann G, Kargaran S, Engelhardt B. Ischemia-reperfusion injury in stroke: impact of the brain barriers and brain immune privilege on neutrophil function. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2018;11.
- 7 Jayaraj RL, Azimullah S, Beiram R, et al. Neuroinflammation: friend and foe for ischemic stroke. J Neuroinflammation 2019;16:142.
- 8 Hudobenko J, Chauhan A, McCullough L. Abstract 122: Amelioration of Ischemic Stroke Damage Through Inhibition of Interleukin-6 Signaling With Tocilizumab Requires Sex Specific Dosing. *Stroke* 2019;50:A128.
- 9 Hudobenko J, Verma R, McCullough L. Abstract TP270: Interleukin-6 Receptor Inhibition with Tocilizumab Ameliorates Ischemic Stroke Damage in Mice. *Stroke* 2017;48:ATP270.
- 10 Zhu Z, Fu Y, Tian D, *et al.* Combination of the Immune Modulator Fingolimod With Alteplase in Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Pilot Trial. *Circulation* 2015;132:1104–12.

- 11 Hernández-Jiménez M, Abad-Santos F, Cotgreave I, et al. Safety and Efficacy of ApTOLL in Patients With Ischemic Stroke Undergoing Endovascular Treatment: A Phase 1/2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Neurol 2023;80:779–88.
- 12 Xu J, Wang A, Meng X, *et al*. Edaravone Dexborneol Versus Edaravone Alone for the Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Phase III, Randomized, Double-Blind, Comparative Trial. *Stroke* 2021;52:772–80.
- 13 MARVEL Trial Authors for the MARVEL Investigators, Yang Q, Guo C, et al. Methylprednisolone as Adjunct to Endovascular Thrombectomy for Large-Vessel Occlusion Stroke: The MARVEL Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2024;331:840–9.
- 14 Feng Q, Wang YI, Yang Y. Neuroprotective effect of interleukin-6 in a rat model of cerebral ischemia. *Exp Ther Med* 2015;9:1695–701.
- 15 Clark WM, Rinker LG, Lessov NS, et al. Lack of Interleukin-6 Expression Is Not Protective Against Focal Central Nervous System Ischemia. Stroke 2000;31:1715–20.
- 16 Beridze M, Sanikidze T, Shakarishvili R, et al. Selected acute phase CSF factors in ischemic stroke: findings and prognostic value. BMC Neurol 2011;11:41.
- 17 Smith CJ, Emsley HCA, Gavin CM, et al. Peak plasma interleukin-6 and other peripheral markers of inflammation in the first week of ischaemic stroke correlate with brain infarct volume, stroke severity and long-term outcome. *BMC Neurol* 2004;4:2.
- 18 Nishimoto N, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of tocilizumab, an anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody, in monotherapy, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (the STREAM study): evidence of safety and efficacy in a 5-year extension study. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1580–4.
- 19 Iadecola C, Anrather J. The immunology of stroke: from mechanisms to translation. *Nat Med* 2011;17:796–808.
- 20 Yoshimura S, Sakai N, Yamagami H, *et al*. Endovascular Therapy for Acute Stroke with a Large Ischemic Region. *N Engl J Med* 2022;386:1303–13.
- 21 Huo X, Ma G, Tong X, et al. Trial of Endovascular Therapy for Acute Ischemic Stroke with Large Infarct. N Engl J Med 2023;1272–83.
- 22 SarrajA, Hassan AE, Abraham MG, et al. Trial of Endovascular Thrombectomy for Large Ischemic Strokes. N Engl J Med 2023;388:1259–71.
- 23 Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, et al. Guidelines for Adult Stroke Rehabilitation and Recovery: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2016;47:e98–169.
- 24 Zaidi SF, Aghaebrahim A, Urra X, et al. Final infarct volume is a stronger predictor of outcome than recanalization in patients with proximal middle cerebral artery occlusion treated with endovascular therapy. Stroke 2012;43:3238–44.
- 25 Albers GW, Goyal M, Jahan R, *et al.* Relationships Between Imaging Assessments and Outcomes in Solitaire With the Intention for Thrombectomy as Primary Endovascular Treatment for Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke* 2015;46:2786–94.