


Trends in characteristics of neurologists who provide stroke consultations in the USA, 2008–2021

Carter H Nakamoto,¹ Andrew D Wilcock,² Lee H Schwamm,³ Jennifer J Majersik,⁴ Kori S Zachrison,⁵ Ateev Mehrotra ^{1,6}

To cite: Nakamoto CH, Wilcock AD, Schwamm LH, *et al.* Trends in characteristics of neurologists who provide stroke consultations in the USA, 2008–2021. *Stroke & Vascular Neurology* 2023;**8**: e001662. doi:10.1136/svn-2022-001662

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/svn-2022-001662>).

Received 26 April 2022

Accepted 9 July 2022

Published Online First

28 July 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²Department of Family Medicine, University of Vermont Larner College of Medicine, Burlington, Vermont, USA

³Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁴Department of Neurology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Division of General Medicine and Primary Care, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Ateev Mehrotra; mehrotra@hcp.med.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT

Introduction Patients with acute ischaemic strokes (AIS), on average, fare better with timely neurologist consultation, and a growing proportion of them receive one. However, little is known about trends in the characteristics of neurologists who treat AIS.

Methods We identified AIS and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) episodes with neurologist consults in fee-for-service Medicare from January 2008 to September 2021. For each episode, we determined whether the neurologist was a vascular neurologist, was a high-volume provider, whether the patient was transferred between hospitals and the distance between the patient's home and physician's practice.

Results From 2008 to 2021, the share of AIS/TIA episodes (n=5 073 294) with neurologist consults increased (52.9% to 61.7%). Among episodes with consults, the fraction conducted by a vascular neurologist (5.2% to 13.7%) or by a high-volume neurologist (13.2% to 14.9%) also increased. The fraction with the patient's home and neurologist greater than 100 miles apart (4.8% to 9.6%) or in different states (5.1% to 8.1%) increased, as did the fraction with transfers (4.2% to 8.5%).

Discussion Over the study period, the proportion of AIS/TIA episodes with consultations from neurologists with either vascular neurology certifications or high volumes increased substantially.

Patients with acute ischaemic strokes (AIS) on average fare better with timely neurologist consultation.^{1,2} To facilitate this, the USA has invested in 'stroke systems of care' by certifying stroke centres, improving referral patterns and adopting telestroke.^{3,4} A growing proportion of patients with AIS is receiving a neurology consult.⁵

However, little is known about AIS-consulting neurologists. Vascular neurology was introduced as a neurology subspecialty in 2003, and those certified in vascular neurology have received specialised training in treatment of AIS.⁶ There is also an association between greater physician stroke case volume and improved outcomes.⁷ It is unknown whether board certified vascular neurologists and/or high-volume stroke physicians are managing more AIS cases, either in-person or through telemedicine.


We describe trends in the subspecialty, case volume, patient transfer rate and distance between the patient's home and the physician's practice among stroke-consulting neurologists.

METHODS

Using fee-for-service Medicare claims from January 2008 to September 2021, we identified all AIS and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) episodes using diagnosis codes (listed in online supplemental appendix 1). An episode was defined as all hospital care (emergency department, inpatient, observation stays) for AIS/TIA patient with no intervening days at home or in rehabilitation: all care for a patient transferred between acute care hospitals would be one episode.

After identifying AIS/TIA episodes, we identified billed neurology visits on the day of presentation or following day using the physician specialty code of 13. We restricted to the first 2 days to capture all acute care, including for late night stroke presentations treated early the next morning. We recognise that we capture some non-acute care as an unintended consequence. We determined whether the neurologist was certified in vascular neurology using the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology certification status and verification system website (<https://application.abpn.com/verifycert/verifycert.asp>) to generate a list of vascular neurologists in 2021 which we merged into the NPPES NPI file by matching on first name, last name, city and state. We calculated the distances between the centroids of the zip codes for neurologist practices and patient residences and noted whether they were in different states, hypothesising that more consults would come from neurologists from farther away, including other states because of telestroke or better transfer protocols.

We classified neurologists as high volume based on stroke consultation counts. Initially,



4

Figure 1 Stroke episode neurologist characteristics, January 2008–July 2021. (A) Stroke episode share with neurologist consult. (B) Vascular neurology training and high-volume shares among neurology stroke consults. (C) Provider more than 100 miles away from patient's home or in a different state, patient transferred during episode. (D) Share of stroke neurology consults provided by vascular neurologists in 2020 by state.

our plan was to define high-volume using a 100 episode per year threshold, but we chose a variable cut-off because the number of stroke episodes varied over the years due to a pandemic-related drop in stroke episodes in 2020 and also because data were only available for part of 2021. Over the first decade of data, we found that 100 consults translated into a cut-off of roughly 2.5 SD above the mean, so we applied this 2.5 SD cut-off across all years. We did not capture telestroke usage as a distinct category from other stroke consultations based on procedure codes because we have found it is vastly underreported in claims.⁸ We identified means and SEs for these measures each year.

The study was approved by the institution's Committee on Human Studies.

RESULTS

From 2008 to 2021, the share of AIS/TIA episodes ($n=5\,073\,294$) with early neurologist consultation increased (52.9%–61.7%) (figure 1A). Among those episodes, there was an increase over time in both consults by a vascular neurologist (5.2%–13.7%) and high-volume neurologist consults (13.2%–14.9%) (figure 1B). There was also an increase over time in the proportion of consults where the patient's home and neurologist were greater than 100 miles apart (4.8% to 9.6%), in different states (5.1%

Table 1 Characteristics of stroke episodes with vascular neurologist and non-vascular neurologist consultations, 2019–2021

	Non-vascular neurologist	Vascular neurologist
Patient region (%)		
West	15.3 (0.05)	17.5 (0.14)
Midwest	23.3 (0.06)	22.4 (0.15)
Northeast	18.5 (0.05)	21.5 (0.15)
South	42.8 (0.07)	38.6 (0.18)
NIH Stroke Scale ¹	4.93 (0.03)	5.96 (0.09)
Months of dual enrolment	2.2 (0.01)	2.3 (0.02)
Share of consults conducted via telemedicine (%)	6.8 (0.04)	13.3 (0.12)
Patient rurality (%)		
Metropolitan	81.6 (0.05)	81.4 (0.14)
Micropolitan	9.7 (0.04)	9.8 (0.11)
Small Town	5.2 (0.03)	5.6 (0.08)
Rural	3.5 (0.03)	3.3 (0.06)

1. National Institutes of Health (NIH) Stroke Scale Quantifies Stroke Impairment. More information at www.stroke.nih.gov/resources/scale.htm. Standard errors included in parentheses.

to 8.1%), and in episodes involving a transfer (4.2% to 8.5%) (figure 1C). In 2020, 8.3% of vascular neurology consults were from an out-of-state physician versus 6.6% of consults by neurologists without vascular specialisation ($p<0.01$).

There is substantial state-level variation in the share of neurology consults performed by vascular neurologists (figure 1D) with the highest rates in Rhode Island (33.3%), Washington, D.C. (33.1%) and Oregon (32.7%) and lowest rates in South Dakota and Idaho (0.0%). There is slight variation in the region and rurality of consults performed by vascular neurologists as opposed to non-vascular neurologists (table 1).

DISCUSSION

Over the study period, there was a 50% increase in the proportion of patients with AIS/TIA who received an early consultation from a neurologist with either vascular certification or high stroke volume. These neurologists might provide better care, in which case this shift may result in better outcomes. However, a third of the patients have no neurology consultation in 2021, and among those seen by a neurologist, most are treated by neurologists without stroke certification. Future work should explore methods of improving access to certified stroke neurologists and quantifying the benefits and harms from this shift in which providers treat patients with stroke.

These trends are concurrent with more patients being transferred during their episode and receiving care from a physician in another state or more than 100 miles away. These changes likely reflect the development of stroke systems of care to facilitate specialist evaluations.

There are important limitations to our analyses. Our data were limited to the Medicare fee-for-service population and, therefore, cannot generalise to those with commercial or Medicaid insurance. Furthermore, as noted above, while many telestroke consultations result in a submitted bill and are included in our analysis, some telestroke consultations are not billed. Therefore, we cannot capture those neurology consultations and underestimate what fraction of patients receive a neurology consultation.⁸ However, our findings are consistent with prior research in which a large fraction of patients with ischaemic stroke never receive any neurology consultation.⁵ Though we focused on consultations within a day of presentation, we recognise that some of these evaluations may not have been for an acute stroke. We also likely underestimate the fraction of neurologists who have vascular neurology training. We could only access vascular neurology certification data from 2021 and therefore miss vascular neurologists who previously retired or who led their certification lapse. Furthermore, we matched vascular neurology certification based on name and

location and, therefore, may not have matched neurologists who moved and did not update their location.

Twitter Lee H Schwamm @braindoc_mgh

Contributors Design of study: all authors. Analyses and drafting: CHN. Review and editing: all other authors.

Funding Supported by grant R01-NS111952 from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke.

Map disclaimer The depiction of boundaries on this map does not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of BMJ (or any member of its group) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, jurisdiction or area or of its authorities. This map is provided without any warranty of any kind, either express or implied.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Harvard Medical School Committee on Human Studies, IRB19-1571 Patient informed consent was not required, given that the data were deidentified.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Ateev Mehrotra <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2223-1582>

REFERENCES

- Smith MA, Liou J-I, Frytak JR, *et al.* 30-Day survival and rehospitalization for stroke patients according to physician specialty. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006;22:21–6.
- Goldstein LB, Matchar DB, Hoff-Lindquist J, *et al.* VA stroke study: neurologist care is associated with increased testing but improved outcomes. *Neurology* 2003;61:792–6.
- Adeoye O, Nyström KV, Yavagal DR, *et al.* Recommendations for the establishment of stroke systems of care: a 2019 update. *Stroke* 2019;50:e187–210.
- Song S, Saver J. Growth of regional acute stroke systems of care in the United States in the first decade of the 21st century. *Stroke* 2012;43:1975–8.
- Wilcock AD, Zachrisson KS, Schwamm LH, *et al.* Trends among rural and urban Medicare beneficiaries in care delivery and outcomes for acute stroke and transient ischemic attacks, 2008–2017. *JAMA Neurol* 2020;77:863–71.
- Adams HP, Biller J. Future of subspecialty training in vascular neurology. *Stroke* 2014;45:3730–3.
- Tung Y-C, Chang G-M, Chen Y-H. Associations of physician volume and weekend admissions with ischemic stroke outcome in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based study. *Med Care* 2009;47:1018–25 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27798348>
- Wilcock AD, Schwamm LH, Zubizarreta JR, *et al.* Legislation Increased Medicare Telestroke Billing, But Underbilling And Erroneous Billing Remain Common. *Health Aff* 2022;41:1–11.