1

Supplementary Material

2 Animals

Monkeys were housed in the *** indoors individually at a constant 3 temperature of 24°C on a 12-h light/dark cycle by professional breeders. 4 All monkeys were fed twice a day and had free access to clean drinking 5 water. Intravenous 0.9% saline was administered throughout the operation 6 in case of fluids lost. The monkeys were placed on a warm operating 7 8 table, and a craniotomy was performed from the left frontotemporal pterion. Then the left middle cerebral artery (MCA) was gradually 9 10 exposed, and the distal M1 branch of MCA was occluded with bipolar electrocoagulation. Penicillin (0.4 million IU, intramuscular, per day) was 11 12 administered to prevent infection, and tramadol (50-100mg, intramuscular, per day) was administered to relieve pain during the 3 days after the 13 surgery. 25ml of 20% mannitol was administered intravenously daily to 14 relieve cerebral edema, for monkeys with severe consciousness deficits, 15 mannitol was administered twice a day. 16

17

18 Skeletal Muscle Coordination Assessment

The scale is scored from 0 to 18, where the higher the score the poorer the skeletal muscle coordination and the poorer the motor function. A score of 0 is considered normal walking and a score of 18 shows a complete absence of movement, suggesting a loss of motor function.

23 Primate Rankin Scale (pRS) Score

The pRS scores include 0-5 points. 0 points indicated no symptoms, point indicated no significant disability, 2 points indicated slight disability, 3 points indicate moderate disability, 4 points indicate moderately severe disability, and 5 points indicated severe disability or death.

29

30 Behavior Assessment

Hill Task unit consists of two staircases each with 5 compartments in 31 32 the forward direction, while the staircases in the Valley Task unit are in the reverse direction. A small piece of carrot or apple, about $14 \times 14 \times 14$ 33 mm in size, was placed on each staircase. The monkeys were allowed to 34 retrieve all the food within 5 minutes and the time spent retrieving each 35 side of the stairs was recorded by observers. Only successful retrieval of 36 food through the square holes on both sides of the Hill Task device or 37 through the round hole in the middle of the Valley Task device using the 38 correct hand was recorded. If the monkey could not successfully retrieve 39 the food after several attempts for a total time of more than 5 minutes, the 40 time was recorded for that side of the hand as three times the average of 41 the corresponding hand before surgery. 42

43

44 MEP Amplitude and Latency

45

The relationship between stimulus intensity and MEP amplitude is in

46

the form of an S-shaped curve, generally referred to as the "stimulus-response curve" or "recruitment curve".¹ The initial segment 47 of this curve was relatively flat, with a near-linear increase in MEP 48 amplitude at stimulus intensities between 120% and 140% of RMT, while 49 at higher stimulus intensities the curve approached a plateau, at which 50 point the MEP amplitude did not increase further even when the stimulus 51 intensity was increased. In many studies, only a single intensity MEP was 52 53 assessed due to experimental limitations, rather than assessing the entire stimulus-response curve. In that case, TMS intensity is usually set to 54 55 115%-125% of the individual RMT to ensure that the experiment detects the MEP size in the rising phase of the stimulus-response curve. However, 56 in clinical diagnosis, the primary goal of TMS-MEP is to elicit the 57 maximal response of motor cortex. Therefore, the intensity of TMS 58 should be high enough. In our study MEP with multiple stimulus 59 intensities was performed. According to the International Federation of 60 Clinical Neurophysiology guidelines, TMS stimulation intensity is 61 usually expressed as a single motor threshold or as a percentage of the 62 maximum stimulator output (MSO).¹ The stimulus intensity was 63 gradually increased from RMT until the MEP no longer increased, at 64 which point the maximum MEP amplitude was obtained. In the 65 TMS-MEP on motor cortex, the range of stimulus intensities where 66 maximum MEP amplitude was between 60% MSO and 80% MSO in our 67

experiment. Thus, the magnetic stimulation output was set to 60-80% 68 MSO to obtain the stable and maximum MEP amplitude. It is known that 69 the variability of the MEP amplitude excited by 100% RMT TMS was 70 small when the muscle was completely relaxed, generally 0-0.5mV in 71 absolute value.¹ Therefore, the maximum amplitude in the pre-stimulation 72 period generally did not exceed 0.5mV in absolute value when excited by 73 100% RMT. In general, the muscles in sedated monkeys were presumed 74 75 to be relaxed, yet further confirmation by electromyography would have been preferable. Since electromyography could not be performed due to 76 the instrumentation limitations, no pre-stimulus muscle activities were 77 confirmed by baseline mean amplitude before the stimulation. And it was 78 79 possible to judge whether a waveform was an artifact based on the location, shape, etc. Due to the numerous factors influencing the flatness 80 81 of the MEP baseline, slight fluctuations in the baseline have little effect on the assessment of MEP parameters when the animal's muscles stayed 82 relaxed. 83

84 Animal Sacrifice and Histopathology

Intramuscular ketamine overdoses were given to anesthetize the monkeys (n=2) at 12 weeks after MCAO. Saline cardiac perfusion was performed after the pain reflexes had completely disappeared, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde perfusion. The skin and subcutaneous tissues of both upper limbs were incised layer by layer, and the bilateral median nerves

were removed and soaked in 4% paraformaldehyde for post-fixation. 90 After 24-48 hours of fixation, dehydration and paraffin embedding were 91 performed and cut into paraffin sections of 3µm thickness. The slices 92 were stained with a HE staining kit (Servicebio, G1003) and a Luxol Fast 93 Blue staining kit (Servicebio, G1030) according to the manufacturer's 94 instructions. Morphological evaluation of the median nerve was 95 performed according to LuxolFastBlue (LFB) staining, and the evaluation 96 97 criteria were: grade 0: normal; grade 1: structural disorder of nerve fibers; grade 2: obvious vacuole formation; grade 3: disappearance of 98 99 myelinated nerve fibers.

100

101 **Double-labeling Immunofluorescence**

Cross sections $(3 - \mu \text{ m thick})$ were cut, and every 20th cross section was 102 selected for fluorescence staining, for a total of 3 sections. Areas where 103 corresponding fluorescence could be detected were defined as regions of 104 interest. A negative control was placed on each section without the adding 105 of the corresponding primary antibody. For double-labeled 106 immunofluorescence staining, paraffin sections were incubated overnight 107 at 4°C with the following primary antibodies after dewaxing to water, 108 antigen repair and blocking steps: rabbit anti-myelin basic protein (MBP; 109 myelin marker, 1:200, Cell Signaling Technology, #78896) and mouse 110 monoclonal anti-neurofilament 200 antibody (NF-200; 1:100, 111 Sigma-Aldrich, N5389). Sections were then incubated with the following 112

fluorescent secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature shielded from light: Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti rabbit IgG (1:200, Cell Signaling Technology). Fluorescence images were acquired by a Leica DMi8 fluorescence confocal microscope.

118

119 Statistical Analysis

All data were first tested for normal distribution by the Shapiro-Wilk 120 method. Data that did not meet the normal distribution were analyzed 121 with the paired Wilcoxon test. Data collected at different time points for 122 the same indicator that matched the normal distribution, equal variances 123 and Mauchly's test of sphericity were analyzed with repeated measures 124 ANOVA. The pRS and SMCS scores at multiple time points were 125 analyzed by one-way repeated measures ANOVA. MEP parameters 126 between the affected and unaffected side at multiple time points were 127 analyzed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA. The MFI data of 128 bilateral median nerve sections was tested for normality with 129 Shapiro-Wilk test. Then paired t-test was applied if they met the 130 normality distribution, otherwise non-parametric test (paired Wilcoxon 131 test) was conducted. Correlation analysis was performed between the Hill 132 and Valley Task, pRS and SMCS dataset with parameters of 133 TMS-MEP/median nerve magnetic stimulation MEP, respectively. 134 Pearson correlation test was used for data conforming to normal 135

136	distribution, and non-normal distribution data was analyzed with
137	Spearman correlation test. The relationships between these datasets were
138	then explored with automatic linear regression modeling. The Hill and
139	Valley Task, pRS and SMCS dataset were modeled separately, with
140	predictors RMT, RMTlat, latency, and amplitude of TMS-MEP and
141	median nerve MEP.
142	
143	1. Rossini PM, Burke D, Chen R, et al. Non-invasive electrical and magnetic stimulation of the brain,

spinal cord, roots and peripheral nerves: Basic principles and procedures for routine clinical
and research application. An updated report from an I.F.C.N. Committee. *Clin Neurophysiol*2015;126(6):1071-107. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2015.02.001 [published Online First:
2015/03/24]

148