

To cite: Wang Y-J, Li Z-X, Gu H-Q, et al. China Stroke Statistics: an update on the 2019 report from the National Center for Healthcare Quality Management in Neurological Diseases, China National Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, the Chinese Stroke Association, National Center for Chronic and Non-communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention and Institute for **Global Neuroscience and Stroke** Collaborations. Stroke & Vascular Neurology 2022;7: e001374. doi:10.1136/svn-2021-001374

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/svn-2021-001374).

Y-JW, Z-XL, H-QG and YZ contributed equally.

Y-JW, Z-XL, H-QG and YZ are joint first authors.

Received 16 October 2021 Accepted 5 February 2022 Published Online First 20 April 2022

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ. For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Yong-Jun Wang; yongjunwang@ncrcnd.org.cn

Professor Ji-Zong Zhao; zhaojz205@163.com

Dr Xu-Dong Ma; Marshal0001@163.com China Stroke Statistics: an update on the 2019 report from the National Center for Healthcare Quality Management in Neurological Diseases, China National Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, the Chinese Stroke Association, National Center for Chronic and Non-communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention and Institute for Global Neuroscience and Stroke Collaborations

Yong-Jun Wang,^{1,2} Zi-Xiao Li,^{1,2} Hong-Qiu Gu ^(b),^{1,2} Yi Zhai,¹ Qi Zhou,^{1,2} Yong Jiang,¹ Xing-Quan Zhao ^(c),¹ Yi-Long Wang,¹ Xin Yang,^{1,2} Chun-Juan Wang,^{1,2} Xia Meng,¹ Hao Li ^(b),¹ Li-Ping Liu,¹ Jing Jing ^(b),¹ Jing Wu,³ An-Ding Xu ^(c),⁴ Qiang Dong ^(c),⁵ David Wang,⁶ Wen-Zhi Wang,⁷ Xu-Dong Ma,⁸ Ji-Zong Zhao,¹ On behalf of China Stroke Statistics Writing Committee

ABSTRACT

China faces the greatest challenge from stroke in the world. According to results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, there were 3.94 million new stroke cases, 28.76 million prevalent cases and 2.19 million deaths due to stroke in China in 2019. Furthermore, stroke is also the leading cause of disability-adjusted life-year (DALY) in China, the number of DALYs reached 45.9 million in 2019. Several recent large-scale epidemiological surveys have updated the data on pre-existing conditions contributed to stroke. The age-adjusted prevalence of overweight among Chinese adults aged 18-69 years was 34.4%, and the prevalence of obesity was 16.8% in 2018. 50.9% of Chinese adults \geq 18 years of age without history of hypertension had prehypertension in 2018. The weighted prevalence of hypertension in adults was 27.5% in 2018. The weighted prevalence of total diabetes and pre-diabetes diagnosed by the American Diabetes Association criteria were 12.8% and 35.2%, respectively, among Chinese adults \geq 18 years of age in 2017. The weighted atrial fibrillation prevalence was 1.8% among Chinese adults ≥45 years of age and equates to being present in an estimated 7.9 million people in China. Data from 1672 tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System (HQMS) showed that 3 411 168 stroke cases were admitted during

2019. Of those, 2 818 875 (82.6%) were ischaemic strokes (ISs), 485 474 (14.2%) were intracerebral haemorrhages (ICHs), 106 819 (3.1%) were subarachnoid haemorrhages (SAHs). The average age was 66 years old, and 59.6% were male. A total of 1379 (<0.1%), 2604 (0.5%), 1250 (1.2%) paediatric strokes (age <18 years) were identified among IS, ICH and SAH, respectively. Over one-third (1 231 519 (36.1%)) of the stroke cases were covered by urban resident basic medical insurance, followed by urban employee basic medical insurance (891 103 (26.1%)) and new rural cooperative medical schema (543 108 (15.9%)). The leading risk factor was hypertension (57.3% for IS, 69.9% for ICH and 44.1% for SAH), and the leading comorbidity was pneumonia or pulmonary infection (10.4% for IS, 34.6% for ICH and 29.7% for SAH). In-hospital death/discharge against medical advice rate was 8.5%, ranging from 6.0% for IS to 20.6% for SAH. The median and IQR of length of stay was 9.0 (6.0-13.0) days, ranging from 10.0 (7.0-13.0) in IS to 14.0 (8.0-22.0) in ICH. Similar data from 2847 secondary public hospitals or private hospitals in the HQMS were also reported. Data from HQMS showed that higher proportions of interprovincial admission to other provinces were seen in Inner Mongolia, Anhui, Tibet and Beijing. Higher proportions of interprovincial admission from other provinces were seen in Beijng,



Open access

Tianjin, Shanghai and Ningxia. Data from 323 601 strokes from 1337 hospitals in the Chinese Stroke Center Alliance during 2019 demonstrated that the composite scores of guideline-recommended key performance indicators for patients with IS, ICH and SAH were 0.78 ± 0.20 , 0.69 ± 0.27 and 0.60 ± 0.31 , respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke was the third highest cause of death of China¹ and the leading cause of disability-adjusted life-year (DALY) in China in 2019.² Stroke has imposed an enormous disease burden on the healthcare system in China. In the past decades, several registry studies have been launched, including the China National Stroke Registries (CNSR-I, CNSR-II and CNSR-III),^{3–5} which continue to provide timely evidence for clinical practice and scientific research. The current report is an update of the China Stroke Statistics 2019 report,⁶ using the best contemporary data source, if available.

METHODS

The current report is an update to the report published in 2019. It contains the most up-to-date statistics related to stroke and its attributable risk factors, including core health behaviours (smoking, diet and weight) and preexisting conditions (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia and atrial fibrillation (AF)).

Data sources

Findings of stroke and related risk factors were extracted from published literature and surveillance reports. In this statistical update, the results of Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study 2019^2 is used for the incidence, prevalence and mortality of stroke. In the section of risk factors in general population, data on obesity, hypertension, diabetes and AF are updated. China Chronic Disease and Risk Factors Surveillance (CCDRFS) (2018) is used to present estimates of the percentage of people with overweight,⁷ obesity,⁷ prehypertension⁸ and hypertension.⁸ The Epidemiological Survey of Thyroid disease, Iodine status and Diabetes (2017) is used for the prevalence of diabetes.⁹ The prevalence of AF¹⁰ is obtained from China Atrial Fibrillation Epidemiologic Study (2014-2016). The data on risk factors in stroke survivors and part of risk factors in general population, including tobacco use, food and nutrition, cholesterol and other lipids are unchanged from China Stroke Statistics 2019. The methodology of data sources and definition of key indicators for stroke related risk factors are shown in supplemental methods.

Patient demographic characteristics, comorbidities, procedure/operations and in-hospital outcomes were extracted from the Hospital Quality Monitoring System (HQMS) 2019¹¹; in-hospital key performance indicators were extracted from the Chinese Stroke Center Alliance (CSCA) 2019.^{12 13} More details were described in the China Stroke Statistics 2019 report.⁶ In addition, standardised inpatient discharge records from secondary

public hospitals or private hospitals were also available in HQMS. Therefore, we extracted, analysed, and reported these data in the current report. The contents of this report have been internally and externally reviewed and approved by the National Centre for Healthcare Quality Management in Neurological Diseases.

Identification of diagnosis for stroke, comorbidities and procedures

Stroke diagnosis and comorbidities in HQMS were identified by main diagnosis using National Clinical V.2.0 of the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, disease codes. Stroke diagnosis in CSCA was determined at discharge. Procedures or interventions were identified by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, volume 3 (online supplemental eTable 1).

Key performance indicators

A total of 11 guideline-recommended performance metrics of in-hospital management were prespecified for this study based on the national guidelines and the Get With The Guidelines-Stroke criteria. Detailed definitions are listed in online supplemental eTable 2.

In-hospital outcomes measures

In-hospital outcomes were analysed based on data from HQMS. In-hospital outcome was classified as discharge as planned, transfer to another hospital and death or discharge against medical advice (DAMA). We used the composite come of death or DAMA to reflect a unique discharge pattern in China that patients would like to withdraw from treatment at unfavourable or terminal status and willing to return home in China.^{12 14} In addition, we also assessed length of stay, total fee and out of pocket money in the current report.

Definition of interprovincial admission

Patients often seek medical treatment across provinces. Therefore, we analysed interprovincial admission at province level based on data from the HQMS. Interprovincial admission was defined as a patient admitted to a hospital out of his or her resident province. Interprovincial admission to other provinces and interprovincial admission from other provinces were assessed and visualised by Sankey diagrams for every province. The top five provinces with high proportions of interprovincial admission for ischaemic stroke (IS), intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) and subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH) are highlighted.

Statistical methods

Data were presented as means with SD or medians with IQRs for continuous variables and as frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. We did not report p values because large sample sizes in HQMS and CSCA would likely yield statistically significant p values with small absolute differences that may not be clinically meaningful. All statistical analyses were performed

using SAS V.9.4. To enhance the speed and efficiency of report creation and presentation, a SAS macro called % ggBaseline was used to analyse and report results automatically.¹⁵ Sankey diagrams were graphed by R package networkD3.¹⁶

RESULTS Stroke burden

Incidence

According to results from the GBD Study 2019,² there were 3.94 million new stroke cases in China in 2019, 2.87 million were IS, 0.85 million were ICH, and 0.22 million were SAH. The incidence rate of stroke was 276.7 per 100 000 population in 2019, 269.2 per 100 000 population for male and 284.5 per 100 000 population for female.

The age-standardised incidence rate of stroke declined by 9.3% from 1990 to 2019. Among different stroke subtypes, the age-standardised incidence rates of ICH and SAH similarly decreased by 53.1% and 39.3%, respectively. But the age-standardised incidence rate of IS increased by 34.7% from 1990 to 2019 (table 1).

Prevalence

According to results from the GBD Study 2019,² among 28.76 million prevalent cases of stroke in 2019, 24.18 million were IS, 4.36 million were ICH, and 1.58 million were SAH. In 2019, the prevalence rate of stroke was 2022.0 per 100 000 population, 1770.7 per 100 000 population for male and 2283.2 per 100 000 population for female.

The age-standardised prevalence rate of stroke increased by 13.2%, as did that of IS (33.5%) from 1990 to 2019. However, the age-standardised prevalence of ICH decreased by 31.9% and that of SAH by 21.9% from 1990 to 2019 (table 2).

Mortality rate

According to results from the GBD Study 2019,² there were 2.19 million deaths due to stroke in 2019, 1.03 million were IS, 1.07 million were ICH, and 0.09 million were SAH. The crude mortality rate of stroke was 153.9 per 100 000 population in 2019, 174.0 per 100 000 population for female. The number of deaths and mortality rate were much higher among males than females for all stroke subcategories in 2019.

The age-standardised mortality rate of stroke decreased by 39.8%, reaching 127.2 per 100 000 population in 2019. The largest decrease in age-standardised mortality rate was in SAH (-84.1%), followed by ICH (-48.1%) from 1990 to 2019 (table 3).

Disease burden

According to results from the GBD Study 2019,² stroke is also the leading cause of DALY in China, the number of DALYs reached 45.9 million in 2019. The DALYs of stroke increased by 36.7% from 1990 to 2019. The changes in DALYs of stroke were inconsistent among different

	Age-standardised i	ncidence rate per 100 000 (9	15% UI)	Percentage chang	le, 1990–2009	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Stroke	209.8 (185.8. 239.4)	194.5 (169.7. 225.2)	200.8 (177.0. 230.8)	-8.0% (-14.31.7)	-10.1% (-16.33.5)	-9.3% (-15.53.3)
S	(118.9, 168.6)	(124.7, 179.8) (124.7, 179.8)	(121.6, 173.2)	(33.5, 46.2)	31.4% (24.7, 37.7)	34.7% (29.4.40.4)
ICH	57.7 148.6.67.7)	33.1 (27 6.39 5)	44.6 (37 5 52 7)	-46.9% (-50 4 -43 5)	-60.2% (-63.2 -57.2)	-53.1% (-56.4 -49.8)
SAH	(11.0 (9.4, 12.8)	12.0 (10.2, 14.2)	(9.8, 13.6) (9.8, 13.6)	(-45.3, -35.7)		

Table 2	Age-standardised prevalence r	ate of stroke in 2019 and perce	ntage changes from 1990 and	by sex and stroke subca	ttegories in China	
	Age-standardised pr	evalence rate per 100 000 (95	(IN %	Percentage chang	je, 1990–2009	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Stroke	1325.3	1583.5	1468.9	16.3	11.4	13.2
	(1181.0, 1486.0)	(1403.7, 1771.4)	(1309.2, 1640.1)	(9.8, 23.0)	(5.4, 18.2)	(7.7, 19.1)
ខ	1060.7	1419.3	1255.9	40.6	29.8	33.5
	(911.7, 1225.5)	(1223.6, 1619.7)	(1083.6, 1437.6)	(30.8, 51.1)	(21.4, 39.5)	(25.6, 42.4)
ICH	260.3	169.4	214.6	–25.0	–40.0	-31.9
	(220.7, 301.8)	(145.5, 194.9)	(183.8, 247.4)	(–28.4, –21.6)	(–43.8, –36.1)	(-35.2, -28.6)
SAH	75.6	86.6	81.4	-19.9	-23.6	-21.9
	(63.9, 90.3)	(72.5, 103.5)	(68.4, 97.0)	(-23.5, -16.8)	(-28.8,-20.1)	(-26.4, -18.8)
ICH, intrac	serebral haemorrhage; IS, ischaemic	stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemo	orrhage; UI, uncertainty interval.			

	Age-standardised	mortality rate per 100 000 (5	95% UI)	Percentage chang	je, 1990–2009	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Stroke	170.0	97.5	127.2	-30.8	-48.2	-39.8
	(141.9, 200.2)	(78.9, 117.0)	(110.2, 144.9)	(-45.8, -13.4)	(-60.2, -33.1)	(-50.7, -28.6)
S	83.6	48.3	62.2	8.0	-14.0	-3.3
	(69.4, 97.4)	(38.7, 58.1)	(53.3, 70.7)	(-22.1, 35.5)	(–35.5, 12.9)	(-25.6, 16.4)
ICH	80.4	45.3	60.1	-40.6	-55.7	-48.1
	(66.3, 95.4)	(36.9, 54.4)	(52.3, 69.0)	(–54.6, –23.9)	(-66.5, -42.3)	(-58.9, -37.8)
SAH	6.4	3.9	5.0	-80.9	-86.9	-84.1
	(4.2, 8.7)	(3.0, 5.0)	(3.9, 6.2)	(-88.0, -27.4)	(-90.9, -81.2)	(-88.7, -69.4)

subtypes. The DALYs of IS increased by 138.6%, but the DALYs of SAH decreased by 59.0%. Similar trends were also observed for YLLs from 1990 to 2019. The YLLs of IS increased by 124.4%, but the YLLs of SAH decreased by 63.2%. YLDs due to stroke and its subtypes increased from 1990 to 2019, with the highest increase in IS (202.7%).

The age-standardised DALY rate was 2412.5/100,000 in 2019. A substantial reduction (-41.6%) in the agestandardised DALY rate was found from 1990 to 2019, with a greater reduction among females (-49.4%) than among males (-34.5%). Moreover, the age-standardised YLD rate increased by 15.9%, but the age-standardised YLL rate decreased by 45.7% from 1990 to 2019 (table 4).

Risk factors in general population Health behaviours

The data on health behaviours, such as smoking/tobacco use, food and nutrition are unchanged from China Stroke Statistics 2019.

Overweight and obesity

According to data from the CCDRFS programme, the aged-adjusted prevalence of being overweight among Chinese adults aged 18-69 years was 34.4% (36.4% of men and 32.3% of women, 33.6% in urban areas and 34.9% in rural areas) and the prevalence of obesity was 16.8% (18.8% of men and 14.8% of women, 17.7% in urban areas and 16.1% in rural areas) in 2018. These body mass index (BMI) cut-offs for defining overweight and obesity are based on the Chinese standard. In contrast, the agedadjusted prevalence of overweight or obesity were lower when defined according to the WHO standard (32.8% and 8.1%, respectively). The age-standardised prevalence of overweight or obesity increased significantly from 2004 to 2018; using BMI definitions according to the Chinese standard, overweight prevalence rose from 24.0 % to 34.4 % (figure 1) and obesity prevalence increased from 7.1%to 16.8% (figure 2).

High blood pressure *Prevalence*

According to data from the CCDRFS programme, 50.9% Chinese adults ≥ 18 years of age without history of hypertension had prehypertension in 2018. The weighted prevalence of hypertension in adults was 27.5% (30.8% for men and 24.2% for women) in 2018. The rural adults had higher hypertension prevalence rate (29.4%) compared with urban adults (25.7%). The age-specific prevalence of hypertension increased with older age in both men and women (figure 3).

Awareness, treatment and control

According to data from the CCDRFS programme, among the adults with hypertension, 41.0% were aware of their sick status, 34.9% were taking antihypertensive medicines, and 11.0% had their blood pressure controlled. In the hypertensive patients, women and urban residents were more likely to have higher rates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension compared with men and rural residents (figure 4).

Diabetes mellitus

Prevalence

According to the data from Thyroid disorders, Iodine status and Diabetes Epidemiological Survey study, the weighted prevalence of total diabetes, self-reported diabetes, newly diagnosed diabetes and pre-diabetes diagnosed by the American Diabetes Association criteria were 12.8%, 6.0%, 6.8%, and 35.2%, respectively, among Chinese adults \geq 18 years of age in 2017.

The prevalence of diabetes continues to increase in China. The weighted prevalence of total diabetes diagnosed by the WHO criteria increased from 9.7% in 2007, to 10.4% in 2013, and to 11.2% in 2017 (figure 5). The weighted prevalence of pre-diabetes rose from 15.5% in 2007 to 18.1% in 2017.

Awareness, treatment and control

The awareness rate and treatment rate of diabetes in 2017 was significantly higher than that in 2010 and 2013. The percentage control of diabetes in the 2017 survey was improved in comparison with the 2010 survey but remained the same as in the 2013 survey (figure 6).

High blood cholesterol and other lipids

The data on the prevalence of dyslipidaemia and its screening, awareness and treatment rate are unchanged from China Stroke Statistics 2019.

Atrial fibrillation

Prevalence

According to the data from the China Atrial Fibrillation Epidemiologic Study in China between 2014 and 2016. The weighted AF prevalence was 1.8% (2.1% in urban and 1.4% in rural area) among Chinese adults \geq 45 years of age and equates to being present in an estimated 7.9 million people in China. Among men and women, the AF prevalence increased from 0.8% and 0.6% in the age group 45–54 years to 5.4% and 4.9% in the age group \geq 75 years, respectively (figure 7).

Awareness

Proportions of people who were aware of having AF rose and fell in four age groups (figure 8) and varied between sex (men 58.5%, women 68.8%) and residency status (urban 78.3%, rural 35.3%). Only 6.0% of patients with high-risk AF received anticoagulation therapy.

Inpatient characteristics

Admission

A total of 3 411 168 and 3 154 444 stroke cases admitted during 2019 were identified from 1672 tertiary public hospitals and 2847 secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in HQMS, respectively (figures 9 and 10). Of those, over 80% were ISs (82.6% and 88.0% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively); over 10% were ICH strokes

Table 4	Age-standardised rate for stru	oke DALYs, YLLs and YLD	s in 2019 and percentage ch	nanges from 1990 and by :	sex and stroke subcatego	ories in China
	Age-standardised DALYs	s rate (95% UI)	Age-standardised YLLs r	ate (95% UI):	Age-standardised YLD)s rate (95% UI)
	Rate per 100 000 population	Percentage change from 1990, %	Rate per 100 000 population	Percentage change from 1990, %	Rate per 100 000 population	Percentage change from 1990, %
Stroke						
Male	3052.1 (2535.2, 3643.0)	-34.5 (-49.0,-16.5)	2787.8 (2282.6, 3350.8)	-37.1 (-51.9,-18.4)	264.2 (187.8, 342.7)	19.0 (12.2, 26.4)
Female	1876.8 (1566.5, 2204.5)	-49.4 (-59.6,-36.3)	1519.2 (1222.9, 1843.6)	-55.3 (-66.2,-41.7)	357.6 (249.2, 461.4)	14.2 (7.7, 21.5)
Total	2412.5 (2102.9, 2742.5)	-41.6 (-50.9,-30.7)	2097.7 (1801.2, 2421.8)	-45.7 (-55.6,-34.0)	314.8 (220.6, 407.8)	15.9 (9.9, 22.2)
S						
Male	1386.4 (1161.8, 1624.3)	3.7 (-23.5, 30.2)	1182.9 (966.0, 1413.5)	-1.0 (-28.7, 28.8)	203.4 (142.4, 267.1)	43.2 (33.3, 54.1)
Female	965.8 (799.9, 1135.3)	-11.5 (-29.0, 8.7)	661.5 (529.9, 804.2)	-23.1 (-42.2, 2.2)	304.3 (211.0, 394.7)	31.5 (22.8, 41.3)
Total	1147.9 (1008.6, 1302.8)	-4.0 (-22.8, 12.6)	890.2 (756.7, 1023.6)	-11.4 (-32.4, 7.8)	257.8 (179.6, 337.5)	35.1 (27.1, 44.5)
ICH						
Male	1517.3 (1235.6, 1835.1)	-42.2 (-57.0,-24.2)	1470.3 (1190.6, 1788.3)	-42.6 (-57.6,-24.2)	47.0 (33.2, 61.7)	-25.2 (-28.9,-21.3)
Female	812.1 (662.1, 978.8)	-58.9 (-69.0,-46.5)	776.9 (627.7, 947.4)	-59.5 (-69.8,-46.6)	35.2 (24.5, 45.7)	-39.5 (-43.6,-35.4)
Total	1142.2 (978.1, 1322.5)	-49.8 (-59.8,-38.9)	1101.2 (943.5, 1281.6)	-50.3 (-60.4,-39.1)	41.1 (28.9, 53.4)	-32.2 (-35.7,-28.7)
SAH						
Male	148.4 (101.4, 194.8)	-78.6 (-86.2,-27.3)	134.6 (88.1, 179.6)	-80.1 (-87.5,-27.9)	13.8 (9.7, 18.4)	-19.5 (-23.9,-15.1)
Female	99.0 (79.5, 122.7)	-84.5 (-88.7,-78.4)	80.8 (61.3, 103.4)	-86.9 (-90.9,-80.9)	18.1 (12.7, 24.3)	-22.8 (-28.3,-18.2)
Total	122.4 (97.3, 149.0)	-81.5 (-86.6,-66.1)	106.3 (82.0, 132.9)	-83.4 (-88.2,-68.1)	16.0 (11.3, 21.5)	-21.4 (-26.0,-17.6)
DALYs, di years of lit	sability-adjusted life year; ICH, intr ie lost.	acerebral haemorrhage; IS, is	chaemic stroke; SAH, subarach	nnoid haemorrhage; UI, uncer	tainty interval; YLDs, years li	ived with disability; YLLs,



Figure 1 Prevalence of overweight among Chinese adults aged 18–69 years by sex and regions, China Chronic Disease and Risk Factors Surveillance programme, from 2004 to 2018 (Chinese BMI cut-offs). BMI, body mass index.



Figure 2 Prevalence of obesity among Chinese adults aged 18–69 years by sex and regions, China Chronic Disease and Risk Factors Surveillance programme, from 2004 to 2018 (Chinese BMI cut-offs). BMI, body mass index.



Figure 3 Prevalence of hypertension among Chinese adults ≥18 years of age by sex and age groups, China Chronic Disease and Risk Factors Surveillance programme, 2018.

(14.2% and 10.7% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively); less than 5% were SAHs (3.1% and 1.3% in tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively). ISs were primarily admitted from



Figure 4 Hypertension awareness, treatment and control rate for Chinese adults \geq 18 years of age by sex and region, China Chronic Disease and Risk Factors Surveillance programme, 2018.



Figure 5 Prevalence of total diabetes diagnosed by the WHO criteria among Chinese adults≥18 years of age by sex and age group from 2007 to 2017.



Figure 6 Weighted awareness rate, treatment rate and control rate of diabetes among Chinese adults \geq 18 years of age from 2010 to 2017.

the clinic (56.3% and 71.5% in tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) and ICH and SAH strokes were primarily admitted from the emergency room for tertiary public hospitals but admitted from both the emergency room and clinic for secondary public hospitals/private hospitals. All cases, no



Figure 7 Prevalence of atrial fibrillation among adults aged \geq 45 years by sex, age and region, China Atrial Fibrillation Epidemiologic Study 2014–2016.

matter admitted to tertiary public hospitals or secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, were more likely to be admitted on weekdays, especially on Mondays, and were less likely to be admitted on weekends (table 5).

Inpatient characteristics

Among the 3 411 168 stroke cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals in HQMS, nearly 60% were male. The average age was 65.9 (SD 12.6) years old, and the median age was 66 (IQR 57.0–75.0) years old. Most cases were covered by the urban resident basic medical insurance (URBMI) (36.1%), followed by the urban employee basic medical insurance (UEBMI) (26.1%) and new rural cooperative medical schema (NRCMS) (15.9%). Only 9.1% were self-pay (including off-site medical treatment). For ISs and ICHs, a higher proportion of male cases were admitted compared with female cases. In contrast, more SAHs were female. Compared with other stroke subtypes, SAHs were younger and a higher proportion was self-pay (table 6).

For the 3 154 444 stroke cases admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in HQMS, similar patterns were shown as cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals. Except that most cases were covered by NRCMS



Figure 9 Distribution of tertiary public hospitals and admitted stroke cases from the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

(30.7%), and then followed by the UEBMI (28.3%) and the URBMI (21.0%) (table 6).

Geographical distribution

From tertiary public hospitals in HQMS, Heilongjiang province contributed the largest number of cases for this report (7.8%), followed by Liaoning (7.6%) and Guangdong (7.5%). Ningxia, Qinghai and Tibet contributed less than 1% (table 7). From secondary public hospitals/ private hospitals, Henan province contributed the largest number of cases for this report (16.0%), followed by Shandong (10.9%) and Hebei (6.8%). Beijing, Hainan, Ningxia, Qinghai, Tianjin, Tibet contributed less than 1% (table 8).

Stroke subtypes by geographical area

The proportion of each stroke subtype varied by province. Tibet had the highest proportion of ICH (49.5% for tertiary public hospitals and 42.9% for secondary public hospitals/private hospitals), followed by Qinghai (27.1%) and Guizhou (23.1%) for tertiary public hospitals and by Jiangxi (19.0%) and Chongqing (17.1%) for secondary public hospitals/private hospitals (figures 11–12).



Figure 8 Awareness rate of atrial fibrillation among adults aged \geq 45 years by age, China Atrial Fibrillation Epidemiologic Study 2014–2016.



Figure 10 Distribution of secondary public hospitals/private hospitals and admitted stroke cases from Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

Total ICH SAH Total Total ICH Total Total Total ICH Total ICH Total Total ICH Total		Tertiary public hosp	oitals			Secondary public hos	pitals/private hospitals		
Variables (N=2411 166 (100)) (N=2 818 875 (82.6.%)) (N=485 474 (142.%)) (N=106 819 (3.1%)) (N=271742 Admission Emergency 1 373 769 (40.3) 1 013 645 (36.0) 291 699 (60.1) 68 425 (64.1) 785 769 (25.2) 619 430 (5.1) Tansfer 2 868 (0.3) 1 90 81 (0.6) 1 56 80 (65.3) 1 57 220 (22.4) 30 255 (2.9) 1 9 740 (0.6) 1 9 840 (1.5) Tansfer 2 8 863 (0.3) 1 80 81 (0.6) 7827 (15.5) 30 255 (2.9) 1 9 740 (0.6) 1 6 880 (1.6) Admission day 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 2 87 8 (15.5) 1 5 246 (15.2) 4 86 940 (1.6) 1 5 6 86 (1.4)		Total	S	ICH	SAH	Total	S	Ю	SAH
Admission Energency 1373 769 (40.3) 1013 645 (36.0) 291 699 (60.1) 664 425 (64.1) 795 769 (25.2) 619 430 (51.2) Emergency 1773 284 (52.0) 1585 801 (56.3) 157 220 (23.4) 30 263 (28.3) 2 162 510 (68.6) 195 423 (7 Transfer 289 653 (0.3) 18 081 (0.6) 7827 (1.6) 30 263 (2.3) 1 76 425 (5.6) 116 880 (1.6) Other 233 122 (5.9) 201 348 (7.1) 287 783 (5.9) 507 6 (4.3) 1 76 425 (5.6) 156 880 (1.4) Admission day 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 156 861 (14.7) 486 976 (12.9) 486 940 (14.8) Monday 611 823 (15.1) 714 (14.2) 75 44 343 (17.4) 389 560 (14.4) 486 480 (14.8) 412 81 (14.4) Wednesday 512 863 (14.4) 708 361 (14.4) 75 443 261 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) 389 560 (14.4) <th>Variables</th> <th>(N=3411 168 (100))</th> <th>(N=2 818 875 (82.6%))</th> <th>(N=485 474 (14.2%))</th> <th>(N=106 819 (3.1%))</th> <th>(N=3154 444 (100%))</th> <th>(N=277 7421 (88.0%))</th> <th>(N=336 929(10.7%))</th> <th>(N=40 094 (1.3%))</th>	Variables	(N=3411 168 (100))	(N=2 818 875 (82.6%))	(N=485 474 (14.2%))	(N=106 819 (3.1%))	(N=3154 444 (100%))	(N=277 7421 (88.0%))	(N=336 929(10.7%))	(N=40 094 (1.3%))
Emergency 1373 769 (40.3) 1013 645 (36.0) 291 699 (60.1) 68 425 (43.1) 795 769 (25.2) 619 430 (Clinic 1773 284 (52.0) 1585 801 (56.3) 157 220 (32.4) 30 263 (23.3) 2 162 510 (68.6) 1986 423 (Other 235 152 (6.9) 180 81 (0.6) 7827 (1.6) 3055 (2.9) 19 740 (0.6) 16 880 (Other 235 152 (6.9) 201 348 (7.1) 28 728 (5.9) 507 6 (4.3) 176 425 (5.6) 16 880 (Admission day 601 62 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 544 343 (17.3) 486 940 (Monday 610 62 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 76 182 (14.3) 15 542 (14.3) 15 646 (14.2) 486 480 (14.3) 339 560 (14.4)	Admission								
Clinic 1773 284 (52.0) 1585 601 (65.3) 157 220 (32.4) 30 263 (28.3) 196 540 (65.6) 196 542 (7 Transfer 28 965 (0.8) 18 081 (0.6) 7827 (1.6) 3055 (2.9) 19 740 (0.6) 16 880 (Other 235 152 (6.9) 201 348 (7.1) 28 728 (5.9) 5076 (4.8) 176 425 (5.6) 156 880 (Admission day 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 544 334 (17.3) 486 940 (Monday 531 192 (15.6) 413 712 (15.7) 71 822 (14.3) 15 542 (14.3) 486 940 (486 480 (44.8) 412 341 (Thursday 512 983 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 70 471 (4.5) 145 542 (14.4) 399 956 (443 972 (15.3) 446 480 (44.8) 412 341 (Thursday 429 265 (11.7) 319 825 (11.4) 70 639 (14.6) 15 542 (14.4) 399 256 (12.5) 314 474 (Sunday 375 043 (11) 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (13.4) 14 515 (13.6) 395 756 (12.5) 314 474 (Sunday 375 043 (11) 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (13.4) 145	Emergency	1 373 769 (40.3)	1 013 645 (36.0)	291 699 (60.1)	68 425 (64.1)	795 769 (25.2)	619 430 (22.3)	156 883 (46.6)	19 456 (48.5)
Transfer 28 963 (0.8) 18 081 (0.6) 7827 (1.6) 3055 (2.9) 19 740 (0.6) 16 880 (Other 235 152 (6.9) 201 348 (7.1) 28 728 (5.9) 5076 (4.8) 176 425 (5.6) 155 688 (Admission day 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 544 343 (17.3) 486 940 (Monday 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 182 (15.4) 16 246 (15.2) 544 343 (17.3) 486 940 (Wondnay 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 77 82 (14.3) 15 542 (14.3) 486 940 (486 940 (Tuesday 511 94 4104 7 (14.7) 69 618 (14.4) 70 477 (14.5) 486 480 (14.8) 412 381 (Thursday 492 145 (14.4) 406 568 (14.4) 70 639 (14.6) 15 542 (14.5) 365 76 (12.5) 344 47 (Saturday 399 225 (11.7) 319 825 (11.3) 264 860 (14.2) 365 76 (12.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 344 41 (15.5) 365 756 (12.5) 344 41 (17.5) 315 3	Clinic	1 773 284 (52.0)	1 585 801 (56.3)	157 220 (32.4)	30 263 (28.3)	2 162 510 (68.6)	1 985 423 (71.5)	159 208 (47.3)	17 879 (44.6)
Other 235 152 (6.3) 201 348 (7.1) 28 728 (5.9) 5076 (4.8) 176 425 (5.6) 155 688 (6.1) Admission day 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 544 343 (17.3) 486 940 (7.3) Monday 531 192 (15.6) 410 107 (14.7) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 544 343 (17.3) 486 940 (7.3) Wednesday 51 2953 (15) 426 934 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 75 542 (14.5) 466 480 (14.8) 412 381 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.3) 486 940 (7.4) 70 477 (4.4) 70 477 (4.4) 70 477 (4.4) 486 480 (14.8) 412 381 (7.4) 486 480 (14.8) 412 381 (7.4) 486 940 (7.4) 486 480 (14.8) 412 381 (7.4) 486 940 (7.4) 532 (5.3) 344 274 (7.4) 532 (7.3) 339 555 (7.3) 339 555 (7.3) 334 372 (7.4) 339 555 (7.3) 334 374 (7.4) 339 555 (7.3) 334 374 (7.4) 335 56 (7.3) 334 374 (7.4) 335 56 (7.3) 334 374 (7.4) 335 56 (7.3) 334 374 (7.4) 335 56 (7.3) 334 374 (7.4) 335 56 (7.3) 344 374 (7.4) 542 51 (7.3) 335 36 (7.4) <td>Transfer</td> <td>28 963 (0.8)</td> <td>18 081 (0.6)</td> <td>7827 (1.6)</td> <td>3055 (2.9)</td> <td>19 740 (0.6)</td> <td>16 880 (0.6)</td> <td>2474 (0.7)</td> <td>386 (1.0)</td>	Transfer	28 963 (0.8)	18 081 (0.6)	7827 (1.6)	3055 (2.9)	19 740 (0.6)	16 880 (0.6)	2474 (0.7)	386 (1.0)
Admission day 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 543 343 (17.3) 486 940 (Tuesday 531 192 (15.6) 43 772 (15.7) 71 822 (14.8) 15 542 (14.5) 464 80 (14.8) 412 841 Wednesday 512 953 (15) 426 934 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 15 542 (14.5) 468 480 (14.8) 412 841 Wednesday 512 953 (15) 426 934 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 15 542 (14.5) 468 480 (14.8) 412 841 Thursday 498 865 (14.4) 70 659 (14.4) 70 659 (14.5) 15 448 (14.5) 448 188 (14.2) 339 556 (12.5) 344 474 (14.6) 313 589 (14.4) 314 474 (15.5) 344 471 (15.5)	Other	235 152 (6.9)	201 348 (7.1)	28 728 (5.9)	5076 (4.8)	176 425 (5.6)	155 688 (5.6)	18 364 (5.5)	2373 (5.9)
Monday 601 625 (17.6) 510 191 (18.1) 75 188 (15.5) 16 246 (15.2) 543 33 (17.3) 486 940 (18.3) Tuesday 531 192 (15.6) 443 712 (15.7) 71 822 (14.8) 15 558 (14.7) 419 72 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) Wednesday 512 953 (15) 426 934 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 15 542 (14.5) 466 480 (14.8) 412 331 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) 412 331 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) 412 331 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) 412 331 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) 412 331 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) 412 331 (15.3) 436 936 (14.4) 70 477 (14.5) 319 526 (11.7) 319 826 (11.3) 426 41 (14.5) 416 41 47 (14.2) 339 556 (12.9) 414 74 (14.2) 339 556 (12.5) 334 47 47 (14.7) 339 556 (12.5) 313 593 (15.1) 200 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 114 516 (14.2) 315 593 (12.5) 313 593 (12.5) 313 593 (14.7) 313 593 (17.4) 313 593 (17.4) 313 593 (17.4) 313 593 (17.2) 313 593 (17.4) 313 593 (17.4) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313 593 (17.6) 313	Admission day								
Tuesday 531 192 (15.6) 433 712 (15.7) 71 822 (14.8) 15 658 (14.7) 481 972 (15.3) 426 430 (14.8) Wednesday 512 953 (15) 428 934 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 15 542 (14.5) 466 480 (14.8) 412 381 (14.4) Wednesday 512 953 (14.4) 496 880 (14.6) 414 047 (14.7) 69 618 (14.3) 15 542 (14.4) 399 950 (14.4) Thursday 492 145 (14.4) 406 058 (14.4) 70 639 (14.6) 15 448 (14.5) 448 188 (14.2) 399 950 (14.4) Friday 492 145 (14.4) 319 825 (11.3) 64 880 (13.4) 15 548 (14.5) 344 74 (14.6) 313 559 (14.4) 313 559 (15.6) 344 474 (15.6) 344 474 (15.6) 313 559 (17.6) 313	Monday	601 625 (17.6)	510 191 (18.1)	75 188 (15.5)	16 246 (15.2)	544 343 (17.3)	486 940 (17.5)	51 294 (15.2)	6109 (15.2)
Wednesday 512 333 (15) 426 934 (15.1) 70 477 (14.5) 15 542 (14.5) 466 480 (14.8) 412 381 (23.8) Thursday 498 985 (14.6) 414 047 (14.7) 69 618 (14.3) 15 320 (14.3) 454 261 (14.4) 399 950 (23.6) Friday 492 145 (14.4) 406 058 (14.4) 70 639 (14.6) 15 448 (14.5) 448 188 (14.2) 399 550 (23.6) Friday 399 225 (11.7) 319 825 (11.3) 64 885 (13.4) 14 515 (13.6) 395 756 (12.5) 344 474 (31.4) Saturday 375 043 (11) 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 313 593 (313 56) CH, intracerebral haemorhage; IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorhage. 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 313 593 (76) CH, intracerebral haemorhage; IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorhage. 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 313 593 (76) CH, intracerebral haemorhage; IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorhage. 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 365 442 (11.5) 313 549 (11.5) ICH, intracerebral haemorhage Intracerebral haemorhage Intracerebral haemorhage	Tuesday	531 192 (15.6)	443 712 (15.7)	71 822 (14.8)	15 658 (14.7)	481 972 (15.3)	426 430 (15.4)	49 719 (14.8)	5823 (14.5)
Thursday 498 985 (14.6) 414 047 (14.7) 69 618 (14.3) 15 320 (14.3) 454 261 (14.4) 399 950 (14.4) Friday 492 145 (14.4) 406 058 (14.4) 70 639 (14.6) 15 448 (14.5) 454 261 (12.9) 399 550 (14.4) 390	Wednesday	512 953 (15)	426 934 (15.1)	70 477 (14.5)	15 542 (14.5)	466 480 (14.8)	412 381 (14.8)	48 375 (14.4)	5724 (14.3)
Friday 492 145 (14.4) 406 058 (14.4) 70 639 (14.6) 15 448 (14.5) 448 188 (14.2) 393 553 (14.4) Saturday 399 225 (11.7) 319 825 (11.3) 64 885 (13.4) 14 515 (13.6) 385 756 (12.5) 344 74 (14.5) Sunday 375 043 (11) 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 365 444 (11.5) 313 553 (14.4) CH, intracentral haemorrhage: IS, ischaemic stroke: SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage. 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 313 553 (14.6) ICH, intracentral haemorrhage: IS, ischaemic stroke: SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage. 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 365 444 (11.5) 313 553 (14.6) ICH, intracentral haemorrhage. IS, ischaemic stroke: SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage. 2010 (13.2) 365 444 (11.5) 313 553 (14.6) 313 553 (14.6) 313 553 (16.6) 314 74 (16.5) 314 74 (17.5) 313 553 (17.6) 313 554 444 (N=271 41.6) 314 74 (16.5) 313 54 444 (N=271 41.6) N=271 41.6	Thursday	498 985 (14.6)	414 047 (14.7)	69 618 (14.3)	15 320 (14.3)	454 261 (14.4)	399 950 (14.4)	48 530 (14.4)	5781 (14.4)
Saturday 399 225 (11.7) 319 825 (13.4) 14 515 (13.6) 355 56 (12.5) 344 474 (12.5) Sunday 375 043 (11) 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 315 593 (12.4) CH, intracerebral haemorrhage: IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage. 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.5) 344 (11.5) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.4) 315 593 (12.5) 344 714 (11.5) 315 593 (12.5) 344 714 (11.5) 315 593 (12.5) 344 714 (11.5) 315 593 (12.5) 344 714 (11.5) 315 593 (12.5) 344 714 (11.5) 315 593 (12.5) 344 714 (11.5) 315 54 744 (N=277) Amonut Amonu	Friday	492 145 (14.4)	406 058 (14.4)	70 639 (14.6)	15 448 (14.5)	448 188 (14.2)	393 653 (14.2)	48 817 (14.5)	5718 (14.3)
Sunday 375 043 (11) 298 108 (10.6) 62 845 (12.9) 14 090 (13.2) 363 444 (11.5) 313 593 (11.5) ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage: IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage. 363 444 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 313 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5) 315 593 593 (11.5)	Saturday	399 225 (11.7)	319 825 (11.3)	64 885 (13.4)	14 515 (13.6)	395 756 (12.5)	344 474 (12.4)	45 710 (13.6)	5572 (13.9)
ICH, intracerebral haemorthage; IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorthage. Table 6 Characteristics of stroke cases admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 Tertiary public hospitals Total IS ICH SAH ALA 2000 AN IN-106 840 (2.4000 AN IN-106 840 (2.4000AN IN-106 840 (2.4000 AN IN-106 840 (2.4000AN IN-106 840 AN IN-106 840 (2.4000AN IN-106 840 (2.400AN IN-106 8	Sunday	375 043 (11)	298 108 (10.6)	62 845 (12.9)	14 090 (13.2)	363 444 (11.5)	313 593 (11.3)	44 484 (13.2)	5367 (13.4)
Table 6 Characteristics of stroke cases admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 Tertiary public hospitals Tertiary public hospitals Total IS condary public hospitals/p Total IS condary public hospitals/p N=3 411 168 (N=3818 875 N=3 411 168 (N=3818 875 N=3 411 168 (N=3818 875	ICH, intracerebral haemorrt	age; IS, ischaemic stroke; 5	SAH, subarachnoid haemorrha	ige.					
Table 6 Characteristics of stroke cases admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 Tertiary public hospitals Tertiary public hospitals Total IS condary public hospitals/p Total IS condary public hospitals/p N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=371 14.1.0%) (N=271 14.1.0%)									
Table 6 Characteristics of stroke cases admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 Tertiary public hospitals Tertiary public hospitals Total IS condary public hospitals/pr Total IS condary public hospitals/pr Total IS condary public hospitals/pr N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=3154 444 (N=271 14.3 20.0) Attraction of stroke cases admitted to hospitals Total IS condary public hospitals/pr Total IS condary public hospitals/pr N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=3154 444 (N=271 14.3 20.0) Attraction of stroke cases admitted to hospitals Total IS condary public hospitals N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=474 444 (N=277 444 20.0)									
Tertiary public hospitals Secondary public hospitals/production Total IS ICH SAH Total IS N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=3154 444 (N=271 (N=271) (N=271 (N=700) (N=271)	Table 6 Characte	ristics of stroke cas	ses admitted to hosp	itals in the Hospital	Quality Monitoring	System in 2019			
Total IS ICH SAH Total IS N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=3154 444 (N=3154 444 (N=271 141 200.01)		Tertiary public hospit	tals			Secondary public	hospitals/private hosp	oitals	
N=3 411 168 (N=2818 875 (N=281 875 (N=27) (N=106 819 (2 10/1) (1000/1) (18 000) (1000/1) (1000/1) (1000/1) (18 000)		Total	IS	H	SAH	Total	IS	ICH	SAH
$(a_1, a_2, a_3) \qquad (a_1, a_2, a_3) \qquad (a_2, a_3) \qquad (a_3, a_3) \qquad (a_1, a_2, a_3) \qquad (a_2, a_3) \qquad (a_2, a_3) \qquad (a_3, a_3) \qquad ($	Variables	N=3 411 168 (100%))	(N=2818 875 (82.6%)) (N	=485 474 (14.2%))	(N=106 819 (3.1%))	(N=3154 444 (100%))	(N=2 777 421 (88.0%))	(N=336 929 (10.7%))	(N=40 094 (1.3%))

Stroke Vasc Neurol: first published as 10.1136/svn-2021-001374 on 20 April 2022. Downloaded from http://svn.bmj.com/ on June 22, 2025 by guest. Protected by copyright.

62 (52.0-71.0)

65 (54.0-73.0)

69 (61.0-76.0)

68 (59.0-75.0)

59 (50.0-68.0)

62 (52.0-71.0)

68 (59.0-76.0)

66 (57.0-75.0)

Age, median (IQR) Age, mean±SD

Insurance URBMI

67.3±12.0

65.9±12.6

Female

Male

61.5±13.8

58.8±14.1

66.8±11.9

68.1±11.3

60.6±16.0

63.8±13.0

7305 (18.2) 4762 (11.9)

5481 (13.7)

51 207 (15.2)

604 853 (21.8)

661 541 (21.0)

24 287 (22.7)

121 456 (25.0)

Table 7 Geog	raphical of stroke cases admitte	ed to tertiary public hospitals	in Hospital Quality Monito	ring System in 2019
	Total	IS	ICH	SAH
Province	(N=3 411 168 (100%))	(N=2 818 875 (82.6%))	(N=485 474 (14.2%))	(N=106 819 (3.1%))
Anhui	118 551 (3.5)	100 456 (3.6)	15 073 (3.1)	3022 (2.8)
Beijing	59 466 (1.7)	51 112 (1.8)	6337 (1.3)	2017 (1.9)
Fujian	42 605 (1.2)	32 080 (1.1)	8007 (1.6)	2518 (2.4)
Gansu	34 435 (1.0)	26 731 (0.9)	6631 (1.4)	1073 (1.0)
Guangdong	254 142 (7.5)	211 594 (7.5)	33 969 (7.0)	8579 (8.0)
Guangxi	114 913 (3.4)	93 292 (3.3)	17 883 (3.7)	3738 (3.5)
Guizhou	50 126 (1.5)	36 297 (1.3)	11 588 (2.4)	2241 (2.1)
Hainan	37 179 (1.1)	33 537 (1.2)	3122 (0.6)	520 (0.5)
Hebei	203 102 (6.0)	171 937 (6.1)	25 562 (5.3)	5603 (5.2)
Henan	231 858 (6.8)	199 189 (7.1)	26 221 (5.4)	6448 (6.0)
Heilongjiang	267 289 (7.8)	240 475 (8.5)	22 632 (4.7)	4182 (3.9)
Hubei	145 436 (4.3)	114 615 (4.1)	24 658 (5.1)	6163 (5.8)
Hunan	131 283 (3.8)	100 577 (3.6)	24 414 (5.0)	6292 (5.9)
Jilin	126 865 (3.7)	110 010 (3.9)	13 598 (2.8)	3257 (3.0)
Jiangsu	212 544 (6.2)	172 573 (6.1)	33 270 (6.9)	6701 (6.3)
Jiangxi	65 020 (1.9)	48 104 (1.7)	13 128 (2.7)	3788 (3.5)
Liaoning	259 794 (7.6)	226 045 (8.0)	28 599 (5.9)	5150 (4.8)
Inner Mongolia	81 615 (2.4)	69 726 (2.5)	10 180 (2.1)	1709 (1.6)
Ningxia	18 714 (0.5)	15 613 (0.6)	2597 (0.5)	504 (0.5)
Qinghai	8012 (0.2)	5555 (0.2)	2171 (0.4)	286 (0.3)
Shandong	236 588 (6.9)	199 193 (7.1)	30 469 (6.3)	6926 (6.5)
Shanxi	61 687 (1.8)	50 622 (1.8)	9140 (1.9)	1925 (1.8)
Shannxi	82 791 (2.4)	68 770 (2.4)	12 102 (2.5)	1919 (1.8)
Shanghai	41 848 (1.2)	34 437 (1.2)	5982 (1.2)	1429 (1.3)
Sichuan	202 728 (5.9)	154 439 (5.5)	40 015 (8.2)	8274 (7.7)
Tianjin	63 628 (1.9)	54 176 (1.9)	7774 (1.6)	1678 (1.6)
Tibet	2051 (0.1)	760 (0.0)	1015 (0.2)	276 (0.3)
Xinjiang	41 158 (1.2)	32 744 (1.2)	7113 (1.5)	1301 (1.2)
Yunnan	49 198 (1.4)	36 557 (1.3)	10 216 (2.1)	2425 (2.3)
Zhejiang	127 151 (3.7)	98 845 (3.5)	23 334 (4.8)	4972 (4.7)
Chongqing	39 391 (1.2)	28 814 (1.0)	8674 (1.8)	1903 (1.8)

ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage.

Age distribution stratified by sex and stroke subtype

The proportions of strokes under 18 years of age were <0.1% for IS, 0.5% for ICH and 1.2% for SAH in tertiary public hospitals and<0.1% for IS, 0.2% for ICH and 2.3% for SAH in secondary public hospitals/private hospitals. For both tertiary and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, male cases tended to have higher proportions of strokes in younger age groups (figures 13–15).

Risk factors or comorbidities

For cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals, the leading risk factor for stroke was hypertension, which was present in 1 614 477 (57.3%) IS cases, 339 210 (69.9%) ICH cases and 47 109 (44.1%) SAH cases. The leading comorbidity was pneumonia or pulmonary infection, which was present in 294 537 (10.4%) IS cases, 168 132 (34.6%) ICH

cases and 31 675 (29.7%) SAH cases. Other risk factors and comorbidities are shown in figures 16-18.

Cases admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals shown similar patterns. The leading risk factor for stroke was also hypertension, which was present in 1 313 085 (47.3%) IS cases, 210 780 (62.6%) ICH cases and 15 900 (39.7%) SAH cases. The leading comorbidity was pneumonia or pulmonary infection, which was present in 208 296 (7.5%) IS cases, 89 629 (26.6%) ICH cases and 7322 (18.3%) SAH cases. Other risk factors and comorbidities are shown in figures 19–21.

In-hospital management

Key performance indicator

We first assessed key performance indicators based on the national guidelines using the CSCA data from 2019. A

Chongqing

	Total	IS	ICH	SAH
Province	(N=3 154 444 (100%))	(N=2 777 421 (88.0%))	(N=336 929 (10.7%))	(N=40 094 (1.3%)
Anhui	100 578 (3.2)	91 029 (3.3)	8687 (2.6)	862 (2.1)
Beijing	20 322 (0.6)	18 335 (0.7)	1738 (0.5)	249 (0.6)
Fujian	35 694 (1.1)	29 918 (1.1)	4941 (1.5)	835 (2.1)
Gansu	60 969 (1.9)	54 286 (2.0)	6058 (1.8)	625 (1.6)
Guangdong	165 168 (5.2)	143 882 (5.2)	18 493 (5.5)	2793 (7.0)
Guangxi	106 740 (3.4)	86 996 (3.1)	17 528 (5.2)	2216 (5.5)
Guizhou	41 195 (1.3)	33 778 (1.2)	6621 (2.0)	796 (2.0)
Hainan	9736 (0.3)	8950 (0.3)	684 (0.2)	102 (0.3)
Hebei	215 700 (6.8)	194 433 (7.0)	19 135 (5.7)	2132 (5.3)
Henan	504 004 (16.0)	453 671 (16.3)	44 933 (13.3)	5400 (13.5)
Heilongjiang	194 670 (6.2)	182 788 (6.6)	10 854 (3.2)	1028 (2.6)
Hubei	93 848 (3.0)	77 149 (2.8)	14 716 (4.4)	1983 (4.9)
Hunan	120 966 (3.8)	98 605 (3.6)	19 014 (5.6)	3347 (8.3)
Jilin	105 098 (3.3)	95 023 (3.4)	9227 (2.7)	848 (2.1)
Jiangsu	108 521 (3.4)	96 341 (3.5)	10 917 (3.2)	1263 (3.2)
Jiangxi	64 119 (2.0)	50 506 (1.8)	12 184 (3.6)	1429 (3.6)
Liaoning	85 502 (2.7)	77 210 (2.8)	7202 (2.1)	1090 (2.7)
Inner Mongolia	70 972 (2.2)	65 114 (2.3)	5232 (1.6)	626 (1.6)
Ningxia	15 473 (0.5)	14 698 (0.5)	730 (0.2)	45 (0.1)
Qinghai	3685 (0.1)	3246 (0.1)	418 (0.1)	21 (0.1)
Shandong	343 926 (10.9)	303 181 (10.9)	36 480 (10.8)	4265 (10.6)
Shanxi	143 033 (4.5)	132 948 (4.8)	9501 (2.8)	584 (1.5)
Shannxi	163 483 (5.2)	144 709 (5.2)	17 484 (5.2)	1290 (3.2)
Shanghai	56 590 (1.8)	50 318 (1.8)	5594 (1.7)	678 (1.7)
Sichuan	64 701 (2.1)	54 747 (2.0)	8824 (2.6)	1130 (2.8)
Tianjin	8236 (0.3)	7787 (0.3)	432 (0.1)	17 (0.0)
Tibet	49 (0.0)	26 (0.0)	21 (0.0)	2 (0.0)
Xinjiang	57 502 (1.8)	49 060 (1.8)	7779 (2.3)	663 (1.7)
Yunnan	82 189 (2.6)	67 628 (2.4)	12 940 (3.8)	1621 (4.0)
Zheiiang	53 527 (1.7)	44 151 (1.6)	8616 (2.6)	760 (1.9)

46 908 (1.7)

ICH, intracerebral haemorrhage; IS, ischaemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage.

58 248 (1.8)



Figure 11 Proportion of stroke type for stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 stratified by province.



1394 (3.5)

9946 (3.0)

Figure 12 Proportion of stroke type for stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 stratified by province.





Figure 13 Age distribution for ischaemic stroke admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019. A. Tertiary public hospitals; B. Secondary public hospitals/private hospitals.



Figure 14 Age distribution for intracerebral haemorrhage stroke admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019. A. Tertiary public hospitals; B. Secondary public hospitals/private hospitals.



Figure 15 Age distribution for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019. A. Tertiary public hospitals; B. Secondary public hospitals/private hospitals.

62.6%



Figure 16 Risk factors or comorbidities for ischaemic stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

6



Figure 17 Risk factors or comorbidities for intracerebral haemorrhage stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

Hypertension		44.1%
Type II diabetes mellitus	5.9%	
Hyperlipemia	3.0%	
Aspiration pneumonia/Pneumonia/Pulmonary infection	29.7%	
Hypoproteinemia	6.6%	
Hypokalemia	6.6%	
Cerebral hemia	5.8%	
Electrolyte imbalance	5.0%	
Hydrocephalus	4.9%	

Figure 18 Risk factors or comorbidities for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.



Figure 19 Risk factors or comorbidities for ischaemic stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.



Hypertension Type II diabetes mellins Hyperijemin Aspiration pneumonia Pneumonia Palmonary infection Hypesklemin Eketrolyte imbalance Cerebral hernia Hypespoteinemia Hypespoteinemia J.156 18.356 2.456 2.456

Figure 21 Risk factors or comorbidities for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/ private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.



Figure 22 Distribution of hospitals and participants from Chinese Stroke Center Alliance in 2019.

total of 323 601 strokes (294 573 IS, 26 026 ICH, and 3002 SAH) from 1337 hospitals were analysed (figure 22). The composite scores for IS, ICH, and SAH were 0.78 ± 0.20 , 0.69 ± 0.27 and 0.60 ± 0.31 , respectively. The all-or-none measures for IS, ICH and SAH were 25.5% (25.4%-25.7\%), 29.1% (28.5%-29.6\%) and 23.7% (22.2%-25.2%), respectively. Other indicators in total and by sex are shown in tables 9–11.

	Total		Male		Female	
Key performance indicators	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)
Acute performance measures						
Intravenous rtPA ≤4.5 hours	22 264/76 067	29.3 (29.0 to 29.6)	14362/47653	30.1 (29.7 to 30.6)	7901/28413	27.8 (27.3 to 28.3)
Early antithrombotics	248 732/288 789	86.1 (86.0 to 86.3)	156 305/181 046	86.3 (86.2 to 86.5)	92 426/107 740	85.8 (85.6 to 86.0)
DVT prophylaxis	13 295/81 950	16.2 (16.0 to 16.5)	7283/48175	15.1 (14.8 to 15.4)	6012/33 773	17.8 (17.4 to 18.2)
Dysphagia screen	243 149/294 573	82.5 (82.4 to 82.7)	152 360/184 417	82.6 (82.4 to 82.8)	90 787/110 153	82.4 (82.2 to 82.6)
Rehabilitation	217702/294 573	73.9 (73.8 to 74.1)	136 012/184 417	73.8 (73.6 to 74.0)	81 689/110 153	74.2 (73.9 to 74.4)
Discharge performance measures						
Antithrombotics	253491/285991	88.6 (88.5 to 88.8)	159 668/179381	89.0 (88.9 to 89.2)	93 822/106 607	88.0 (87.8 to 88.2)
Anticoagulants for AF	9309/20038	46.5 (45.8 to 47.2)	4911/10502	46.8 (45.8 to 47.7)	4398/9536	46.1 (45.1 to 47.1)
BP lowering for HTN	144663/222838	64.9 (64.7 to 65.1)	88283/137385	64.3 (64.0 to 64.5)	56380/85451	66.0 (65.7 to 66.3)
Glucose-lowering for hyperglycaemic	64 290/82 053	78.4 (78.1 to 78.6)	37252/47777	78.0 (77.6 to 78.3)	27 038/34 276	78.9 (78.5 to 79.3)
Statin for LDL ≤100 or ND	263825/291503	90.5 (90.4 to 90.6)	165 521/182584	90.7 (90.5 to 90.8)	98 303/108 916	90.3 (90.1 to 90.4)
Smoking cessation	66744/69234	96.4 (96.3 to 96.5)	64013/66246	96.6 (96.5 to 96.8)	2731/2988	91.4 (90.4 to 92.4)
Summary performance measures						
Composite score	0.78±0.20		0.78±0.20		0.77±0.21	
All-or-none measure	75240/294573	25.5 (25.4 to 25.7)	48008/184417	26.0 (25.8 to 26.2)	27 232/110 153	24.7 (24.5 to 25.0)
AF, atrial fibrillation; BP, blood pressure;	DVT, deep vein thrombosis; H	HTN, hypertension; LDL, lo	w-density lipoprotein; rtPA, re	combinant tissue plasmino	gen activator.	

6

Wang Y-J, et al. Stroke & Vascular Neurology 2022;7:e001374. doi:10.1136/svn-2021-001374

	Total		Male		Female	
Key performance indicators	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)
DVT prophylaxis	4255/16114	26.4 (25.7 to 27.1)	2547/9941	25.6 (24.8 to 26.5)	1708/6173	27.7 (26.6 to 28.8)
Dysphagia screen	18893/26026	72.6 (72.1 to 73.1)	11852/16325	72.6 (71.9 to 73.3)	7040/9700	72.6 (71.7 to 73.5)
Rehabilitation	18952/26026	72.8 (72.3 to 73.4)	11864/16325	72.7 (72.0 to 73.4)	7087/9700	73.1 (72.2 to 73.9)
BP lowering for HTN	18821/22256	84.6 (84.1 to 85.0)	11721/13892	84.4 (83.8 to 85.0)	7099/8363	84.9 (84.1 to 85.7)
Glucose-lowering for hyperglycaemic	2328/3362	69.2 (67.7 to 70.8)	1378/2005	68.7 (66.7 to 70.8)	950/1357	70.0 (67.6 to 72.5)
Smoking cessation	4482/4745	94.5 (93.8 to 95.1)	4375/4615	94.8 (94.2 to 95.4)	107/130	82.3 (75.8 to 88.9)
Summary performance measures						
Composite score	0 69+0 27		0 69+0 27		0 68+0 28	
All-or-none measure	7561/26026	29.1 (28.5 to 29.6)	4741/16325	29.0 (28.3 to 29.7)	2819/9700	29.1 (28.2 to 30.0)
Table 11 Key performance indicators	s of subarachnoid haem	iorrhage from Chines	e Stroke Center Allianc	e in 2019		
	Total		Female		Male	
Key performance indicators	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)	Relative frequency	% (95% CI)
DVT prophylaxis	413/1762	23.4 (21.5 to 25.4)	155/668	23.2 (20.0 to 26.4)	258/1094	23.6 (21.1 to 26.1)
Dysphagia screen	2048/3002	68.2 (66.6 to 69.9)	795/1160	68.5 (65.9 to 71.2)	1253/1842	68.0 (65.9 to 70.2)
Rehabilitation	1765/3002	58.8 (57.0 to 60.6)	690/1160	59.5 (56.7 to 62.3)	1075/1842	58.4 (56.1 to 60.6)
BP lowering for HTN	1374/1876	73.2 (71.2 to 75.2)	509/710	71.7 (68.4 to 75.0)	865/1166	74.2 (71.7 to 76.7)
Glucose-lowering for hyperglycaemic	199/314	63.4 (58.1 to 68.7)	71/112	63.4 (54.5 to 72.3)	128/202	63.4 (56.7 to 70.0)
Smoking cessation	351/377	93.1 (90.6 to 95.7)	331/354	93.5 (90.9 to 96.1)	20/23	87.0 (73.2 to 100)
Summary performance measures						
Composite score	0.60±0.31		0.62±0.31		0.59±0.31	
All-or-none measure	712/3002	23.7 (22.2 to 25.2)	288/1160	24.8 (22.3 to 27.3)	424/1842	23.0 (21.1 to 24.9)
BP. blood pressure: DVT. deep vein thromb	osis: HTN. hvpertension.					

Open access



Figure 27 Interventions for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital

Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

Intracranial and cervical artery occlusion 2.0%

Carotid aneurysm coil embolization 0.7%

Carotid aneurysm embolization 0.5%

abolization/Middle cerebral aneurosm embolization

Figure 23 Procedures for ischaemic stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.



Figure 24 Procedures for ischaemic stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.



Figure 25 Procedures for intracerebral haemorrhage stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.



Figure 26 Procedures for intracerebral haemorrhage stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

Procedures and operations

We also evaluated procedures and operations using the HQMS 2019 data. Generally, cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals received more common procedures or interventions than their counterparts admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals. The most



Figure 28 Interventions for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.





common procedures for IS was intracranial intra-arterial thrombectomy (15 602 (55.4‱) and 1022 (3.7‰) for patients admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) and carotid artery stenting (7794 (27.7‰) and 457 (1.7‰) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) (figures 23 and 24).

3.5%



Figure 30 Procedures for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

The most common procedures for ICH in HQMS were the evacuation of intracerebral haematoma/evacuation of intracerebral haematoma (50 191 (10.3%) and 17 539 (5.2%) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) and burr-hole drainage of ventricle/burrhole decompression of skull (22 007 (4.5%) and 9812 (2.9%) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals and secondary public hospitals, respectively) (figures 25 and 26).

For SAH in HQMS, the most common interventions were intracranial aneurysm embolisation/intracranial aneurysm coil embolisation/intracranial aneurysm embolisation/middle cerebral aneurysm embolisation (19 386 (18.1%) and 1394 (3.5%) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) and intracranial arterial stenting/ intracranial arterial stent-assist coil embolisation (5845 (5.5%) and 408 (1.0%) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) (figures 27 and 28). The most common procedure for SAH in HQMS was the clipping of cerebral aneurysm (5171 (4.8%) and 528 (1.3%) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) (figures 29 and 30). Prevalence of other procedures and interventions are shown in figures 23-30.

In-hospital outcomes

Overall

About 88% of hospitalised stroke were discharged as planned (2 969 963 (87.1%) and 2 795 700 (88.6%) for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively). However, 290 394 (8.5%) and 216 801 (6.9%) cases were DAMA or died during hospitalisation for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively. The mean length of stay was 11.0±9.2 days and

9.7±8.1 days, with median (IQR) 9.0 (6.0–13.0) and 8.0 (6.0–12.0) days for cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively. The average fee for the care of one patient with stroke was about ¥16 767 and ¥8096, and the average out-of-pocket spending was about ¥5342 and ¥2397 for cases admitted to tertiary public and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively. Compared with ISs, haemorrhagic strokes had much higher rate of DAMA/death (≈20% vs ≈6%), longer length of stay (≈ 15 days vs 10 days), and higher total fees and out-of-pocket spending (table 12).

Special subgroups

Sex: Female cases had comparable discharge rates, DAMA/death rate and length of stay with male patients. However, female cases had lower cost of care for IS and ICH but higher cost of care for SAH compared with male cases (tables 13–15).

Stroke subtypes and insurance status: Stroke cases covered by URBMI had the lowest DAMA rate (3.5% and 3.9% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively) and the highest in-hospital death rate (1.0% and 0.6% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively), while cases covered by NRCMS had the lowest in-hospital death rate (0.3% and 0.2% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively). Cases with self-pay insurance type had the highest DAMA rate for IS (7.2% and 6.3% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/ private hospitals, respectively) and ICH (17.7% and 17.2% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively), while for SAH, cases covered by UEBMI had the highest DAMA rate (18.5% and 19.3% for tertiary public hospitals and secondary public hospitals/private hospitals, respectively). Other in-hospital outcomes such as length of stay, total fees and out-of-pocket spending are shown in tables 16-21.

Day of admission (weekday vs weekend): Generally, patients admitted on weekdays had lower DAMA/death rate and lower total costs than those admitted on weekends, especially for patients with IS admitted to tertiary public hospitals (tables 22–24).

Provinces and stroke subtypes: Results showed that Tianjin, Chongqing and Hebei had the highest DAMA rates, while Shanghai had the highest rate of in-hospital deaths among IS patients; Hebei and Chongqing had the highest DAMA rates, while Liaoning had the highest rate of in-hospital deaths among ICH or SAH patients (figures 31–33).

Results from secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the HQMS showed that Liaoning and Jilin had the highest DAMA rates, while Shanghai had the highest rate of in-hospital deaths among IS patients; Liaoning and Hebei had the highest DAMA rates, while Heilongjiang had the highest rate of in-hospital deaths among

Iable 12 In-r	lospital outcor	nes of stroke cases a	admitted to nospitals	In the Hospital Qu	lality Monitoring System			
	Tertiary public	c hospitals			Secondary public hospi	tals/private hospitals		
	Total	IS	ICH	SAH	Total	IS	ICH	SAH
Variables	N=3 411 168 (100%))	(N=2 818 875 (82.6%))	(N=485 474 (14.2%))	(N=106 819 (3.1%))	(N=3 154 444 (100%))	(N=2 777 421 (88.0%))	(N=336 929 (10.7%))	(N=40 094 (1.3%))
In-hospital outcomes								
Discharge	2 969 963 (87.1)	2 535 735 (90.0)	356 604 (73.5)	77 624 (72.7)	2 795 700 (88.6)	2 520 211 (90.7)	249 299 (74)	26 190 (65.3)
Transfer	71 896 (2.1)	53 635 (1.9)	14 290 (2.9)	3971 (3.7)	60 263 (1.9)	42 346 (1.5)	13 428 (4.0)	4489 (11.2)
DAMA/death	290 394 (8.5)	169 014 (6.0)	99 332 (20.5)	22 048 (20.6)	216 801 (6.9)	148 065 (5.3)	60 997 (18.1)	7739 (19.3)
DAMA	241 149 (7.1)	148 152 (5.3)	75 590 (15.6)	17 407 (16.3)	194 437 (6.2)	137 910 (5.0)	50 114 (14.9)	6413 (16.0)
Death	49 245 (1.4)	20 862 (0.7)	23 742 (4.9)	4641 (4.3)	22 364 (0.7)	10 155 (0.4)	10 883 (3.2)	1326 (3.3)
Unclear	78 915 (2.3)	60 491 (2.1)	15 248 (3.1)	3176 (3.0)	81 680 (2.6)	66 799 (2.4)	13 205 (3.9)	1676 (4.2)
Length of stay								
Mean±SD	11.0±9.2	10.9±8.0	17.5±16.4	14.6±14.4	9.7±8.1	9.9±7.3	15.5±15.6	10.4±13.3
Median (IQR)	9.0 (6.0–13.0)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	8.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)
Total fee, RMB								
Mean±SD	16767.4±28 754.3	14 493.4±19 974.9	36652.3±48 513.0	78998.2±81 242.7	8096.0±12 689.1	7455.2±8897.2	20371.2±28 466.3	24683.3±46 632.7
Median (IQR)	9170.2 (5993.4–15 250.4)	9739.5 (6485.9–15 300.6)	19 122.2 (9696.2–43 587.4)	51 899.4 (13 010.9–127 093.1)	5348.3 (3658.7–8273.2)	5552.5 (3827.6–8369.9)	11 120.3 (5676.1–22 804.7)	7519.2 (3160.0– 19 133.3)
Out of pocket, RMB								
Mean±SD	5342.6±15 158.1	4492.8±10 436.7	12 079.1±26 096.0	29652.0±51 436.1	2397.1±6026.7	2194.4±4306.2	6179.3±14 168.5	7705.3±22 112.1
Median (IQR)	1576.1 (0.0– 5092.5)	1732.9 (0.0–5287.6)	3019.5 (0.0–12 563.3)	5171.4 (0.0–38 718.7)	1115 (0.0–2794.7)	1149.4 (0.0–2904.5)	1658.8 (0.0–6285.0)	1162.1 (0.0– 5049.1)
DAMA, discharge	against medical	advice; ICH, intracerebr	al haemorrhage; IS, ischa	aemic stroke; RMB, re	ınminbi (Chinese yuan); SAł	H, subarachnoid haemorrhag	Je.	

Table 13 In-hospital ou	tcomes of ischaemic stro	oke cases admitted to ho	spitals in the Hospital C	Juality Monitoring System	i in 2019 by sex	
	Tertiary public hospitals			Secondary public hospit	als/private hospitals	
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Variables	(N=2818875(100%))	(N=1679026(59.6%))	(N=1139849(40.4%))	(N=2777 421(100%))	(N=1515609(54.6%))	(N=1261812(45.4%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	2 535 735 (90.0)	1 509 972 (89.9)	1 025 763 (90.0)	2 520 211 (90.7)	1 370 935 (90.5)	1 149 276 (91.1)
Transfer	53 635 (1.9)	32 632 (1.9)	21 003 (1.8)	42 346 (1.5)	25 214 (1.7)	17 132 (1.4)
DAMA/death	169 014 (6.0)	99 663 (5.9)	69 351 (6.1)	148 065 (5.3)	82 060 (5.4)	66 005 (5.2)
DAMA	148 152 (5.3)	87 498 (5.2)	60 654 (5.3)	137 910 (5.0)	76 266 (5.0)	61 644 (4.9)
Death	20 862 (0.7)	12 165 (0.7)	8697 (0.8)	10 155 (0.4)	5794 (0.4)	4361 (0.3)
Unclear	60 491 (2.1)	36 759 (2.2)	23 732 (2.1)	66 799 (2.4)	37 400 (2.5)	29 399 (2.3)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	10.9±8.0	11.0±8.2	10.7±7.7	9.9±7.3	10.0±7.6	9.7±6.9
Median (IQR)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	14493.4±19 974.9	15229.6±21 220.4	13 409.0±17 928.7	7455.2±8897.2	7732.5±9519.0	7122.0±8074.8
Median (IQR)	9739.5	10 096.3	9237.4	5552.5	5709.4	5377.5
	(6485.9–15 300.6)	(6704.6–15 904.1)	(6197.3–14 399.9)	(3827.6–8369.9)	(3901.2–8648.8)	(3753.9–8036.7)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	4492.8±10 436.7	4679.1±11 086.8	4218.3±9390.7	2194.4±4306.2	2240.9±4618.0	2138.5±3898.2
Median (IQR)	1732.9 (0.0–5287.6)	1662.9 (0.0–5393.7)	1827.5 (0.0–5152.9)	1149.4 (0.0–2904.5)	1097.4 (0.0–2905.7)	1211.6 (0.0–2903.3)
DAMA, discharge against me	dical advice; RMB, renminbi ((Chinese yuan).				

Table 14 Ir	n-hospital outcomes of	intracerebral haemorrhage	cases admitted to hospitals	s in the Hospital Quality Mor	nitoring System in 2019 by s	sex
	Tertiary public hospits	als		Secondary public hospitals/	private hospitals	
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Variables	(N=485 474(100%))	(N=310726(64.0%))	(N=174748(36.0%))	(N=336929(100%))	(N=204501(60.7%))	(N=132428(39.3%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	356 604 (73.5)	226 510 (72.9)	130 094 (74.4)	249 299 (74.0)	149 596 (73.2)	99 703 (75.3)
Transfer	14 290 (2.9)	9322 (3.0)	4968 (2.8)	13 428 (4.0)	8584 (4.2)	4844 (3.7)
DAMA/ death	99 332 (20.5)	65 162 (21.0)	34 170 (19.5)	60 997 (18.1)	38 241 (18.7)	22 756 (17.2)
DAMA	75 590 (15.6)	48 630 (15.7)	26 960 (15.4)	50 114 (14.9)	30 848 (15.1)	19 266 (14.5)
Death	23 742 (4.9)	16 532 (5.3)	7210 (4.1)	10 883 (3.2)	7393 (3.6)	3490 (2.6)
Unclear	15 248 (3.1)	9732 (3.1)	5516 (3.2)	13 205 (3.9)	8080 (4.0)	5125 (3.9)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	17.5±16.4	17.5±16.8	17.4±15.9	15.5±15.6	15.4±15.9	15.6±15.2
Median (IQR)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	14.0 (7.0–22.0)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)	13.0 (6.0–21.0)
Total fee, RME						
Mean±SD	36652.3±48 513.0	37 848.8±50 590.1	34524.7±44502.2	20371.2±28 466.3	20823.1±29 739.2	19673.3±26 365.1
Median (IQR)	19 122.2 (9696.2–43 587.4)	19 323.9 (9710.6–45 152.3)	18 791.3 (9668.3–40 982.8)	11 120.3 (5676.1–22 804.7)	11 035.6 (5616.7–23 030.0)	11 254.6 (5778.0–22 448.9)
Out of pocket RMB						
Mean±SD	12079.1±26 096.0	12501.2±27373.4	11 328.6±23 636.3	6179.3±14 168.5	6326.7±14 867.9	5951.7±13 011.8
Median (IQR)	3019.5 (0.0–12 563.3)	2996.7 (0.0–12 741.5)	3062.2 (0.0–12 256.0)	1658.8 (0.0–6285.0)	1627.0 (0.0–6242.5)	1708.2 (0.0–6352.8)
DAMA, discha	rge against medical advice	; RMB, renminbi (Chinese yuan).				

$\mathbf{\Omega}$	
\mathbf{U}	

Table 15 In-hospital or	utcomes of subarachnoid h	haemorhage cases admit	ted to hospitals in the Ho	spital Quality Monitoring	System in 2019 by sex	
	Tertiary public hospitals			Secondary public hosp	itals/private hospitals	
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Variables	(N=106819(100%))	(N=44 387(41.6%))	(N=62 432(58.4%))	(N=40094(100%))	(N=17352(43.3%))	(N=22742(56.7%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	77 624 (72.7)	32 362 (72.9)	45 262 (72.5)	26 190 (65.3)	11 544 (66.5)	14 646 (64.4)
Transfer	3971 (3.7)	1581 (3.6)	2390 (3.8)	4489 (11.2)	1845 (10.6)	2644 (11.6)
DAMA/death	22 048 (20.6)	9156 (20.6)	12 892 (20.6)	7739 (19.3)	3251 (18.7)	4488 (19.7)
DAMA	17 407 (16.3)	7074 (15.9)	10 333 (16.6)	6413 (16.0)	2699 (15.6)	3714 (16.3)
Death	4641 (4.3)	2082 (4.7)	2559 (4.1)	1326 (3.3)	552 (3.2)	774 (3.4)
Unclear	3176 (3.0)	1288 (2.9)	1888 (3.0)	1676 (4.2)	712 (4.1)	964 (4.2)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	14.6±14.4	14.4±14.6	14.7±14.3	10.4±13.3	10.2±13.1	10.5±13.5
Median (IQR)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	13.0 (5.0–19.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)	6.0 (1.0–14.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	78998.2±81 242.7	73 346.2±80 164.6	83 016.7±81 763.9	24683.3±46 632.7	21695.9±42 147.5	26962.8±49 664.0
Median (IQR)	51 899.4	39 720.7	61 200.4	7519.2	7033.9	7950.2
	(13 010.9–127 093.1)	(11 888.2–117721.6)	(14 010.4–132 907.4)	(3160.0–19 133.3)	(3088.6–16 967.7)	(3237.6–20 942.3)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	29652.0±51 436.1	27 964.3±50 089.7	30 851.9±52 339.6	7705.3±22 112.1	7036.4±20 174.1	8215.7±23 471.2
Median (IQR)	5171.4(0.0–38 718.7)	4891.8(0.0–34 564.3)	5410.0(0.0-41 738.6)	1162.1(0.0–5049.1)	1182.1(0.0–4881.1)	1150.1(0.0–5222.9)
DAMA, discharge against m	edical advice; RMB, renminbi (C	Chinese yuan).				

In-hospital outcomes of ischaemic stroke cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by insurance

Table 16

Variables	Total (N=2818875(100%))	URBMI (N=1 085 776(38.5%))	UEBMI (N=720624(25.6%))	NRCMS (N=427 699(15.2%))	Self-pay (N=219871(7.8%))	Other (N=364905(12.9%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	2 535 735 (90.0)	999 049 (92.0)	648 744 (90.0)	375 829 (87.9)	193 363 (87.9)	318 750 (87.4)
Transfer	53 635 (1.9)	17 577 (1.6)	15 289 (2.1)	10 098 (2.4)	3463 (1.6)	7208 (2.0)
DAMA/death	169 014 (6.0)	48 827 (4.5)	48 777 (6.8)	30 001 (7.0)	17 882 (8.1)	23 527 (6.4)
DAMA	148 152 (5.3)	37 979 (3.5)	45 045 (6.3)	28 625 (6.7)	15 729 (7.2)	20 774 (5.7)
Death	20 862 (0.7)	10 848 (1.0)	3732 (0.5)	1376 (0.3)	2153 (1.0)	2753 (0.8)
Unclear	60 491 (2.1)	20 323 (1.9)	7814 (1.1)	11 771 (2.8)	5163 (2.3)	15 420 (4.2)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	10.9±8.0	11.4±8.2	10.6±7.3	10.4±7.0	10.2±8.7	11.1±9.1
Median (IQR)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (6.0–13.0)	9.0 (7.0–13.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	14 493.4±19 974.9	14 953.2±20 267.6	14 252.1±18 997.2	13003.9±18 009.0	15446.3±23 108.9	14 773.9±21 009.4
Median (IQR)	9739.5 (6485.9–15 300.6)	10 208.2 (6935.3–15 773.2)	9669.4 (6380.7–15 236.2)	8666.5 (5809.6–13 647.0)	9845.0 (6110.1–16 162.0)	9707.3 (6444.2–15 318.4)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	4492.8±10 436.7	3674.7±9316.4	4492.5±9417.7	4897.6±10 050.3	7499.1±16 548.6	4641.4±10 815.3
Median (IQR)	1732.9 (0.0–5287.6)	1374.4 (0.0–3820.3)	2186.5 (0.0–5666.7)	2398.2 (0.0–6269.7)	2506.4 (0.0–9342.2)	1650.1 (0.0–5536.1)
DAMA, discharge against	: medical advice; NRCMS, r lical insurance	new rural cooperative medic	al schema; RMB, renminb	ii (Chinese yuan); UEBMI, u	urban employee basic mec	ical insurance; URBMI,

Table 17 In-hospital or insurance	utcomes of ischaemic str	oke cases admitted to se	econdary public hospital	s/private hospitals in the	Hospital Quality Monitor	ing System in 2019 by
Variables	Total (N=2 777 421 (100%))	URBMI (N=604853(21.8%))	UEBMI (N=782 129(28.2%))	NRCMS (N=851266(30.6%))	Self-pay (N=201 630(7.3%))	Other (N=337543(12.2%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	2 520 211 (90.7)	555 555 (91.8)	701 118 (89.6)	779 766 (91.6)	179 098 (88.8)	304 674 (90.3)
Transfer	42 346 (1.5)	8549 (1.4)	15 231 (1.9)	10 574 (1.2)	4027 (2.0)	3965 (1.2)
DAMA/death	148 065 (5.3)	27 029 (4.5)	47 862 (6.1)	43 697 (5.1)	13 526 (6.7)	15 951 (4.7)
DAMA	137 910 (5.0)	23 295 (3.9)	45 307 (5.8)	42 058 (4.9)	12 736 (6.3)	14 514 (4.3)
Death	10 155 (0.4)	3734 (0.6)	2555 (0.3)	1639 (0.2)	790 (0.4)	1437 (0.4)
Unclear	66 799 (2.4)	13 720 (2.3)	17 918 (2.3)	17 229 (2.0)	4979 (2.5)	12 953 (3.8)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	9.9±7.3	10.8±8.7	9.5±6.5	9.5±6.1	9.4±7.6	10.2±8.4
Median (IQR)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	8.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	7455.2±8897.2	8961.0±11 459.0	7452.6 ± 8108.3	6382.3±7050.1	7409.3±9458.4	7495.8±8829.4
Median (IQR)	5552.5 (3827.6–8369.9)	6398.8 (4360.1–9878.1)	5699.6 (3951.6–8465.7)	4955.7 (3552.0–7235.6)	5523.0 (3502.6–8564.0)	5568.7 (3794.9–8412.6)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	2194.4±4306.2	2066.5±4457.7	2273.1±4066.7	2112.2±3572.4	2890.9±6426.1	2032.3±4628.8
Median (IQR)	1149.4 (0.0–2904.5)	1053.1 (0.0–2417.6)	1412.0 (0.0–2966.3)	1216.2 (0.0–3039.2)	1150.5 (0.0–3865.1)	347.5 (0.0–2718.0)
DAMA, discharge against	medical advice; NRCMS, ne	ew rural cooperative medio	al schema; RMB, renmink	oi (Chinese yuan); UEBMI, u	ırban employee basic med	ical insurance; URBMI,

		I HACHIOHAGE CASES AUTH	וובת וה ובו וומו להתחווה ווהי	אוומוס ווו נוופ ווסטונמו שמיני		I ZU I 3 DY III SUI GI ICE
Variables	Total (N=485474(100%))	URBMI (N=121 456(25.0%))	UEBMI (N=140 045(28.8%))	NRCMS (N=94660(19.5%))	Self-pay (N=71222(14.7%))	Other (N=58091(12.0%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	356 604 (73.5)	92 382 (76.1)	104 160 (74.4)	69 802 (73.7)	49 666 (69.7)	40 594 (69.9)
Transfer	14 290 (2.9)	3401 (2.8)	4660 (3.3)	2920 (3.1)	1853 (2.6)	1456 (2.5)
DAMA/death	99 332 (20.5)	22 408 (18.4)	18 422 (13.2)	18 280 (19.3)	17 089 (24.0)	13 133 (22.6)
DAMA	75 590 (15.6)	12 889 (10.6)	23 840 (17.0)	16 278 (17.2)	12 603 (17.7)	9980 (17.2)
Death	23 742 (4.9)	9519 (7.8)	4582 (3.3)	2002 (2.1)	4486 (6.3)	3153 (5.4)
Unclear	15 248 (3.1)	3265 (2.7)	2803 (2.0)	3658 (3.9)	2614 (3.7)	2908 (5.0)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	17.5±16.4	19.5±18.1	17.5±15.8	17.1±15.4	14.6±15.1	17.5±17.1
Median (IQR)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	15.0 (9.0–24.0)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	14.0 (7.0–22.0)	12.0 (4.0–20.0)	14.0 (7.0–22.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	36652.3±48 513.0	42077.2±56 424.0	34771.2±44 322.6	33159.2±41 741.4	34624.1±46 734.8	38023.4±51 599.5
Median (IQR)	19 122.2 (9696.2–43 587.4)	21 191.0 (11 060.2–49 105.0)	18 724.5 (9764.1–41 430.0)	17 949.5 (9089.0–40 467.5)	17 596.5 (7987.7–42 473.3)	19 661.9 (9856.6–45 019.8)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	12 079.1±26 096.0	10840.0±25 740.8	10295.4±21 836.8	12057.2±24 326.3	16901.8±33 302.6	13093.0±28 140.5
Median (IQR)	3019.5 (0.0–12 563.3)	2600.0 (0.0–10 279.0)	2927.0 (0.0–11 276.1)	3541.2 (0.0–13 083.9)	3759.5 (0.0–18 264.4)	3156.5 (0.0–14 018.6)
DAMA, discharge against	medical advice; NRCMS, ne	ew rural cooperative medical	schema; UEBMI, urban em	ployee basic medical insura	nce; URBMI, urban resident	basic medical insurance.

Table 19In-hospital o2019 by insurance	utcomes of intracerebral h	naemorrage cases admitte	ed to secondary public h	ospitals/private hospitals	in the Hospital Quality M	onitoring System in
Variables	Total (N=336929(100%))	URBMI (N=51207(15.2%))	UEBMI (N=99 589(29.6%))	NRCMS (N=105528(31.3%))	Self-pay (N=40549(12.0%))	Other (N=40056(11.9%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	249 299 (74.0)	37 891 (74.0)	72 515 (72.8)	81 396 (77.1)	27 896 (68.8)	29 601 (73.9)
Transfer	13 428 (4.0)	2098 (4.1)	4156 (4.2)	3620 (3.4)	2197 (5.4)	1357 (3.4)
DAMA/ death	60 997 (18.1)	9235 (18.0)	19 636 (19.7)	16 523 (15.7)	8560 (21.1)	7043 (17.6)
DAMA	50 114 (14.9)	6469 (12.6)	16 707 (16.8)	14 409 (13.7)	6965 (17.2)	5564 (13.9)
Death	10 883 (3.2)	2766 (5.4)	2929 (2.9)	2114 (2.0)	1595 (3.9)	1479 (3.7)
Unclear	13 205 (3.9)	1983 (3.9)	3282 (3.3)	3989 (3.8)	1896 (4.7)	2055 (5.1)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	15.5±15.6	17.6±18.2	15.4±14.8	15.2±14.5	13.6±15.7	15.5±16.3
Median (IQR)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)	14.0 (7.0–22.0)	13.0 (6.0–20.0)	13.0 (6.0–20.0)	10.0 (2.0–19.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	20371.2±28 466.3	24868.1±36 621.8	20171.0±26 979.9	18376.3±24 072.8	20357.9±29 710.2	20388.8±28 899.1
Median (IQR)	11 120.3 (5676.1–22 804.7)	12 691.4 (6474.9–26 589.8)	11 468.0 (6063.3–22 794.4)	10 397.2 (5484.0–21 029.0)	10 320.6 (4450.1–23 011.6)	11 177.7 (5545.6–23 094.9)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	6179.3±14 168.5	5803.8±13 067.0	5841.4±12 378.6	5546.8±12 200.7	9450.9±21 436.9	5854.0±14 816.7
Median (IQR)	1658.8 (0.0–6285.0)	1676.0 (0.0–5848.7)	2065.6 (0.0–6323.9)	1501.4 (0.0–5814.2)	1932.7 (0.0–9312.6)	675.2 (0.0–5728.1)
DAMA, discharge against urban resident basic med	medical advice; NRCMS, nical insurance.	ew rural cooperative medic	al schema; RMB, renminbi	(Chinese yuan); UEBMI, urb	an employee basic medica	ll insurance; URBMI,

Stroke Vasc Neurol: first published as 10.1136/svn-2021-001374 on 20 April 2022. Downloaded from http://svn.bmj.com/ on June 22, 2025 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 20 In-	-hospital o	utcomes of subarachnoid	haemorrhage cases adm	itted to tertiary public ho	spitals in the Hospital Qu	ality Monitoring System	ו in 2019 by insurance
Variables		Total (N=106 819(100%))	URBMI (N=24287(22.7%))	UEBMI (N=30 434(28.5%))	NRCMS (N=20749(19.4%))	Self-pay (N=17992(16.8%))	Other (N=13357(12.5%))
In-hospital ou	tcomes						
Discharge		77 624 (72.7)	18 249 (75.1)	21 920 (72.0)	14 921 (71.9)	12 874 (71.6)	9660 (72.3)
Transfer		3971 (3.7)	812 (3.3)	1235 (4.1)	865 (4.2)	654 (3.6)	405 (3.0)
DAMA/ dea:	ith	22 048 (20.6)	4652 (19.2)	6614 (21.7)	4168 (20.1)	3895 (21.6)	2719 (20.4)
DAMA		17 407 (16.3)	2775 (11.4)	5630 (18.5)	3758 (18.1)	3082 (17.1)	2162 (16.2)
Death		4641 (4.3)	1877 (7.7)	984 (3.2)	410 (2.0)	813 (4.5)	557 (4.2)
Unclear		3176 (3.0)	574 (2.4)	665 (2.2)	795 (3.8)	569 (3.2)	573 (4.3)
Length of stay	>						
Mean±SD		14.6±14.4	16.0±15.6	15.2±14.4	14.0±13.2	12.1±13.1	15.0±15.1
Median (IQF	Ê.	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	13.0 (6.0–20.0)	13.0 (6.0–20.0)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	10.0 (3.0–17.0)	13.0 (5.0–19.0)
Total fee, RME	m						
Mean±SD		78998.2±81 242.7	88368.5±89 875.6	79 933.1±78 678.9	74 568.9±76 272.9	68159.4±75 117.5	81310.8±83 671.3
Median (IQF	۲) ۲	51 899.4 (13 010.9–127 093.1)	59 085.1 (15 712.5–140691.7)	56 758.4 (14 564.6–128535.8)	47 992.7 (12 122.3–122 316.5)	34 728.6 (9001.1–111911.0)	56 730.2 (13 399.3–128349.5)
Out of pocket,	, RMB						
Mean±SD		29652.0±51 436.1	27689.6±49 134.8	26 995.5±46 601.3	30 404.2±51 303.8	34607.1±59 414.8	31430.1±54 008.1
Median (IQF	(H	5171.4 (0.0–38 718.7)	5194.1 (0.0–35 789.6)	5120.4 (0.0–36 482.3)	5566.4 (0.0–39 079.0)	4417.9 (0.0–46 345.3)	5594.4 (0.0–43 515.2)
DAMA, discha urban resident	arge against t basic medi	medical advice; NRCMS, ne cal insurance.	w rural cooperative medic	al schema; RMB, renminbi (Chinese yuan); UEBMI, urb	an employee basic medi	cal insurance; URBMI,

Table 21In-hospita2019 by insurance	I outcomes of subarachnoic	l haemorrhage cases admit	ted to secondary public h	iospitals/private hospital	ls in the Hospital Quality I	Monitoring System in
Variables	Total (N=40094(100%))	URBMI (N=5481(13.7%))	UEBMI (N=11 295(28.2%))	NRCMS (N=11251(28.1%))	Self-pay (N=7305(18.2%))	Other (N=4762(11.9%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	26 190 (65.3)	3614 (65.9)	6816 (60.3)	7751 (68.9)	4754 (65.1)	3255 (68.4)
Transfer	4489 (11.2)	532 (9.7)	1520 (13.5)	1145 (10.2)	836 (11.4)	456 (9.6)
DAMA/ death	7739 (19.3)	1137 (20.7)	2535 (22.4)	1920 (17.1)	1356 (18.6)	791 (16.6)
DAMA	6413 (16.0)	788 (14.4)	2182 (19.3)	1683 (15.0)	1130 (15.5)	630 (13.2)
Death	1326 (3.3)	349 (6.4)	353 (3.1)	237 (2.1)	226 (3.1)	161 (3.4)
Unclear	1676 (4.2)	198 (3.6)	424 (3.8)	435 (3.9)	359 (4.9)	260 (5.5)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	10.4±13.3	12.5±15.5	10.1±12.2	10.2±12.2	9.2±13.5	10.7±15.0
Median (IQR)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)	8.0 (2.0–17.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)	7.0 (1.0–15.0)	4.0 (1.0–13.0)	6.0 (1.0–14.0)
Total fee						
Mean±SD	24683.3±46 632.7	34466.3±60 238.5	26130.5±46 750.0	24553.4±45 400.9	18435.0±39 125.2	19882.8±39 169.2
Median (IQR)	7519.2 (3160.0–19 133.3)	9995.2 (4103.5–28 989.6)	8158.8 (3519.0–20 855.6)	7554.3 (3256.0–19 008.6)	5602.1 (2262.1–13 593.6)	7017.3 (2976.4–16 457.0)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	7705.3±22 112.1	8688.2±24 428.0	8608.2±22 125.1	6194.4±18 224.2	8687.4±26 437.1	6496.0±20 025.2
Median (IQR)	1162.1 (0.0–5049.1)	1406.6 (0.0–5290.4)	1521.8 (0.0–5527.9)	784.6 (0.0–4192.0)	1260.6 (0.0–5916.9)	646.8 (0.0–4537.3)
DAMA, discharge agair urban resident basic m	nst medical advice; NRCMS, r edical insurance.	iew rural cooperative medical	schema; RMB, renminbi (C	hinese yuan); UEBMI, urba	an employee basic medical	insurance; URBMI,

Stroke Vasc Neurol: first published as 10.1136/svn-2021-001374 on 20 April 2022. Downloaded from http://svn.bmj.com/ on June 22, 2025 by guest. Protected by copyright.

\cap
0

Table 22 In-hospit:	al outcomes of ischaemic	stroke cases admitted to h	ospitals in the Hospital (Quality Monitoring Systen	n in 2019 by admission da	Ŋ
	Tertiary public hospitals			Secondary public hospi	itals/private hospitals	
	Total	Weekdays	Weekend	Total	Weekdays	Weekend
Variables	(N=2818875(100%))	(N=2 200942(78.1%))	(N=617 933(21.9%))	(N=2777 421(100%))	(N=2119354(76.3%))	(N=658 067(23.7%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	2 535 735 (90.0)	1 986 289 (90.2)	549 446 (88.9)	2 520 211 (90.7)	1 926 267 (90.9)	593 944 (90.3)
Transfer	53 635 (1.9)	40 947 (1.9)	12 688 (2.1)	42 346 (1.5)	31 416 (1.5)	10 930 (1.7)
DAMA/death	169 014 (6.0)	126 354 (5.7)	42 660 (6.9)	148 065 (5.3)	110 282 (5.2)	37 783 (5.7)
DAMA	148 152 (5.3)	110 956 (5.0)	37 196 (6.0)	137 910 (5.0)	102 801 (4.9)	35 109 (5.3)
Death	20 862 (0.7)	15 398 (0.7)	5464 (0.9)	10 155 (0.4)	7481 (0.4)	2674 (0.4)
Unclear	60 491 (2.1)	47 352 (2.2)	13 139 (2.1)	66 799 (2.4)	51 389 (2.4)	15 410 (2.3)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	10.9±8.0	10.9±8.0	10.8±7.8	9.9±7.3	9.9±7.4	9.7 ±7.0
Median (IQR)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	10.0 (7.0–13.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)	9.0 (6.0–12.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	14493.4±19 974.9	14364.4±19 735.4	14952.9±20 798.9	7455.2±8897.2	7430.5±8900.1	7534.5 ± 8887.3
Median (IQR)	9739.5(6485.9–15 300.6)	9686.4(6467.6–15 181.4)	9936(6553.9–15 725.2)	5552.5(3827.6-8369.9)	5522.4(3813.9–8324.2)	5655.0(3874.2-8517.4)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	4492.8±10 436.7	4444.4±10 312.5	4665.1±10 865.6	2194.4±4306.2	2178.9±4288.3	2244.3±4363.0
Median (IQR)	1732.9 (0.0–5287.6)	1711.0 (0.0–5236.5)	1812.3 (0.0–5467.1)	1149.4 (0.0–2904.5)	1138.3 (0.0–2887.4)	1190.7 (0.0–2962.2)
DAMA, discharge aga	inst medical advice; RMB, re	nminbi (Chinese yuan).				

Table 23 In-r	ospital outcomes of intract	erebral haemorrhage case	s admitted to hospitals in t	the Hospital Quality Monit	toring System in 2019 by a	admission day
	Tertiary public hospitals			Secondary public hospitals	s/private hospitals	
	Total	Weekdays	Weekend	Total	Weekdays	Weekend
Variables	(N=485 474(100%))	(N=357 744(73.7%))	(N=127730(26.3%))	(N=336929(100%))	(N=246735(73.2%))	(N=90 194(26.8%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	356 604 (73.5)	264 454 (73.9)	92 150 (72.1)	249 299 (74.0)	183 001 (74.2)	66 298 (73.5)
Transfer	14 290 (2.9)	10 475 (2.9)	3815 (3.0)	13 428 (4.0)	9796 (4.0)	3632 (4.0)
DAMA/death	99 332 (20.5)	71 718 (20.0)	27 614 (21.6)	60 997 (18.1)	44 250 (18.0)	16 747 (18.6)
DAMA	75 590 (15.6)	54 453 (15.2)	21 137 (16.5)	50 114 (14.9)	36 420 (14.8)	13 694 (15.2)
Death	23 742 (4.9)	17 265 (4.8)	6477 (5.1)	10 883 (3.2)	7830 (3.2)	3053 (3.4)
Unclear	15 248 (3.1)	11 097 (3.1)	4151 (3.2)	13 205 (3.9)	9688 (3.9)	3517 (3.9)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	17.5±16.4	17.5±16.4	17.3±16.5	15.5±15.6	15.5±15.6	15.3±15.5
Median (IQR)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	14.0 (8.0–22.0)	14.0 (7.0–22.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)	13.0 (5.0–20.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	36652.3±48 513.0	36 403.8±48 353.5	37 348.1±48 950.6	20371.2±28 466.3	20278.5±28 434.4	20624.7±28552.1
Median (IQR)	19 122.2 (9696.2–43 587.4)	18 967.5 (9658.1–43 136.3)	19 581.5 (9806.6-44 795.0)	11 120.3 (5676.1–22 804.7)	11 070.9 (5663.4–22 694.7)	11 263.1 (5715.0–23 108.6)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	12079.1±26 096.0	11 987.5±25 965.4	12 335.6±26 456.7	6179.3±14 168.5	6160.4±14 205.5	6230.9±14 066.9
Median (IQR)	3019.5(0.0–12 563.3)	3000.0(0.0-12 435.4)	3077.4(0.0–12 935.9)	1658.8(0.0–6285.0)	1651.5(0.0-6239.6)	1685.7(0.0–6411.6)
DAMA, discharge	e against medical advice; RMB, r	enminbi (Chinese yuan).				

Table 24 In-hospital or	utcomes of subarachnoid	haemorrhage cases admitt	ted to hospitals in the Hos	pital Quality Monitoring	System in 2019 by adn	nission day
	Tertiary public hospital	S		Secondary public hos	spitals/private hospitals	
	Total	Weekdays	Weekend	Total	Weekdays	Weekend
Variables	(N=106819(100%))	(N=78214(73.2%))	(N=28605(26.8%))	(N=40 094(100%))	(N=29 155(72.7%))	(N=10 939(27.3%))
In-hospital outcomes						
Discharge	77 624 (72.7)	56 949 (72.8)	20 675 (72.3)	26 190 (65.3)	19 047 (65.3)	7143 (65.3)
Transfer	3971 (3.7)	2892 (3.7)	1079 (3.8)	4489 (11.2)	3258 (11.2)	1231 (11.3)
DAMA/death	22 048 (20.6)	16 022 (20.5)	6026 (21.1)	7739 (19.3)	5618 (19.3)	2121 (19.4)
DAMA	17 407 (16.3)	12 689 (16.2)	4718 (16.5)	6413 (16.0)	4662 (16.0)	1751 (16.0)
Death	4641 (4.3)	3333 (4.3)	1308 (4.6)	1326 (3.3)	956 (3.3)	370 (3.4)
Unclear	3176 (3.0)	2351 (3.0)	825 (2.9)	1676 (4.2)	1232 (4.2)	444 (4.1)
Length of stay						
Mean±SD	14.6±14.4	14.6±14.5	14.6±14.3	10.4±13.3	10.4±13.5	10.1±12.8
Median (IQR)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	12.0 (5.0–19.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)	6.0 (1.0–15.0)
Total fee, RMB						
Mean±SD	78998.2±81 242.7	78 720.2±81 277.1	79758.5±81 145.1	24683.3±46632.7	24815.5±46 859.1	24 331.1±46 024.0
Median (IQR)	51 899.4	51 377.7	53 311.4	7519.2	7521.3	7514.1
	(13 010.9–127 093.1)	(12 944.3–126 465.2)	(13 222.4–128874.5)	(3160.0–19 133.3)	(3191.2–19 167.4)	(3095.4–19 036.8)
Out of pocket, RMB						
Mean±SD	29652.0±51 436.1	29554.3±51 462.8	29919.2±51 362.9	7705.3±22 112.1	7595.6±21 581.5	7997.9±23 466.4
Median (IQR)	5171.4(0.0–38 718.7)	5174.3(0.0–38 568.8)	5153.0(0.0–39 209.5)	1162.1(0.0–5049.1)	1172.4(0.0–5056.4)	1138.7(0.0–5040.0)
DAMA, discharge against	medical advice; RMB,renmir	hbi (Chinese yuan).				

Open access





Figure 31 In-hospital outcomes of ischaemic stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by province, autonomous region, or municipality. DAMA, discharge against medical advice.





haemorrhage stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by province, autonomous region or municipality. DAMA, discharge against medical advice.



Figure 33 In-hospital outcomes of subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to tertiary public hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by province, autonomous region or municipality. DAMA, discharge without medical advice.



Figure 34 In-hospital outcomes of ischaemic stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by province, autonomous region or municipality. DAMA, discharge against medical advice.



Figure 35 In-hospital outcomes of intracerebral haemorrhage stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by province, autonomous region, or municipality. DAMA, discharge against medical advice.



Figure 36 In-hospital outcomes of subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019 by province, autonomous region or municipality. DAMA, discharge without medical advice.



Figure 37 Interprovincial admission to other provinces for ischaemic stroke in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

ICH patients. Tianjin and Hainan had the highest DAMA rates, while Tianjin had the highest rate of in-hospital deaths among SAH patients (figures 34–36).

Interprovincial admission

Interprovincial admission to other provinces

The largest proportion of interprovincial admission to other provinces for IS was seen in Tibet (31.4%), followed by Qinghai (10.4%), Beijing (9.8%), Inner Mongolia (9.3%) and Anhui (6.4%). Interprovincial admission for IS resident in Tibet and Qinghai were largely admitted to hospitals in Sichuan. For those residents in Beijing, Inner Mongolia and Anhui were largely admitted to hospitals in Hebei, Jilin, and Jiangsu, respectively (figure 37).

The largest proportion of interprovincial admission to other provinces for ICH was seen in Beijing (14.8%), followed by Anhui (14.7%), Tibet (13.7%), Inner Mongolia (11.4%) and Hainan (9.7%). Interprovincial admission for ICH resident in Beijing and Anhui were largely admitted to hospitals in Hebei and Jiangsu, while those resident in Tibet were largely admitted to hospitals in Sichuan and Yunnan (figure 38).

For SAH, Anhui (20.6%) had the largest proportion of interprovincial admission to other provinces, followed by Inner Mongolia (20.5%), Tibet (17.4%), Beijing (13.6%) and Qinghai (12.5%). Similarly, interprovincial



Figure 38 Interprovincial admission to other provinces for intracerebral haemorrhage stroke in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

admission for SAH resident in Anhui, Inner Mongolia and Tibet were largely admitted to hospitals in Jiangsu, Jilin and Sichuan, respectively (figure 39).

Interprovincial admission from other provinces

The largest proportion of interprovincial admission from other provinces for IS was seen in Tibet (13.6%), followed by Tianjin (11.4%), Beijing (10.7%), Shanghai (7.4%) and Ningxia (7.1%). Interprovincial admission for IS admitted to hospitals in Tibet, Tianjin, Beijing and Ningxia were largely from patients resident in Sichuan, Hebei, Hebei and Inner Mongolia, respectively (figure 40).

The largest proportion of interprovincial admission from other provinces for ICH was seen in Beijing (21.4%), followed by Tianjin (20.0%), Shanghai (17.5%), Tibet (16.3%) and Ningxia (15.2%). Interprovincial admission for ICH admitted to hospitals in Tianjin, Beijing, Ningxia and Tibet were largely from patients resident in Hebei, Hebei, Inner Mongolia and Sichuan, respectively (figure 41).

For SAH, Beijing (39.2%) also had the largest proportion of interprovincial admission from other provinces, followed by Tianjin (28.9%), Shanghai (24.0%), Ningxia (21.6%) and Zhejiang (12.2%). Similarly, interprovincial admission for SAH admitted to hospitals in Tianjin, Beijing and Ningxia was largely from patients resident



Figure 39 Interprovincial admission to other provinces for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

in Hebei, Hebei, and Inner Mongolia, respectively. However, interprovincial admission Shanghai was largely from Jiangsu, Anhui and Zhejiang (figure 42).

DISCUSSION

The crude death rate from stroke has been increasing rapidly in China over the past three decades, rising at a faster rate than that of other countries. Ageing has become one of the major contributors to the increased mortality from stroke. In 2019, 12.6% of China's population was over 65 years of age.¹⁷ The age-adjusted, standardised death rate has fallen, but the absolute number of death caused by stroke still increases each year. China faces increasing challenges to reduce morbidity, mortality and disease burden from stroke in the coming years.

Risk factor control and continued investment in public health projects have helped reduce the stroke burden in the USA over the past 100 years.¹⁸ Conversely, risk factors are highly prevalent among patients with stroke in China; furthermore, the prevalence of major risk factors for stroke in the general population in China has increased nearly 20 years. For example, the age-standardised overweight prevalence rose from 24.0% to 34.4% and obesity prevalence increased from 7.1% to 16.8% between 2004 and 2018; and the prevalence of hypertension increased





Figure 40 Interprovincial admission from other provinces for ischaemic stroke in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

from 18.6% in 2002 to 27.5% in 2018. The Chinese government has implemented several public education and primary prevention initiatives for stroke, with some success. From 2002 to 2012, the awareness rate, treatment rate and control rate of hypertension improved by 16.3%, 16.4% and 7.7%, respectively. The daily salt intake by Chinese adults \geq 18 years of age has decreased from 12.0 in 2002 to 9.3 g in 2018 during the same period, though this is still considered a high level.

In addition to stroke cases admitted to tertiary public hospitals, we also included stroke cases admitted to secondary public hospitals/private hospitals and expanded our analysis. The large scope of included hospital and stroke cases, and the comparability of characteristics of stroke cases from HQMS to previous reports,^{5 12 19} would improve the generality of results in this report. In addition, for the first time, we reported interprovincial admission based on province level data from HQMS, which may provide useful data on the resource allocation and policy development for reimbursement by medical insurance.

This report has several limitations. We used various sources of data, including but not limited to surveillance data, survey data, registry data and administrative data. These data vary in definition, form, quality and time of collection. Nevertheless, these are the best data available and have been carefully adjudicated. In addition, the





representativeness of our data could not be guaranteed. For example, CSCA is based on hospitals' voluntary enrolment and thus did not use a sampling process to obtain a nationally representative sample of hospitals. In HQMS, Tibet had only 2100 cases enrolled. Hence, these data should be interpreted with caution, particularly at the province level. The accuracy of patient identification lie in the accurate of ICD-10 coding. However, no audit or validation study has been reported. In addition, data were insufficient to identify therapeutic indications for procedures and operations. Therefore, we reported the rates of procedures and operations among the overall population, not the population with indications.

This report summarised the most up-to-date data on the characteristics, risk factors, admissions, geographical distribution, comorbidities, in-hospital management, outcomes and interprovincial admission for all subtypes of stroke cases in China. Information on inpatient stroke care was based on the data from HQMS and CSCA in 2019. Compared with previous registry data, the contemporary HQMS data has a remarkable advantage in its scope and sample sizes. This report provides a nationally representative and up-to-date evidence that will help guide the healthcare resource allocation and provide directions for future research in stroke care and prevention in China. Further efforts on comprehensive risk factor control such as obesity and hypertension, as well



Figure 42 Interprovincial admission from other provinces for subarachnoid haemorrhage stroke in the Hospital Quality Monitoring System in 2019.

as specific in-hospital management measures, including acute reperfusion treatment, anticoagulation for AF and prevention of pneumonia or pulmonary infection, are needed in order to provide an overall stroke prevention and control in China.

Author affiliations

¹China National Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

²National Center for Healthcare Quality Management in Neurological Diseases, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

³National Center for Chronic and Non-communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China ⁴Department of Neurology and Stroke Center, The First Affiliated Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

⁵Department of Neurology, Huashan Hospital, State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

⁶Neurovascular Division, Department of Neurology, Barrow Neurological Institute, St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

⁷Department of Neuroepidemiology, Beijing Neurosurgical Institute, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

⁸Bureau of Medical Administration, National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, Beijing, China

Twitter Yi-Long Wang @yilong

Acknowledgements We thank The National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China and China Standard Medical Information Research Center for the support of this study. We thank CSCA collaborating centers, members and volunteers for their hard work and efforts. We thank every participant who has contributed important and invaluable data to CSCA.

Collaborators China Stroke Statistics Writing Committee (ordered alphabetically by First name): Beisha Tang (bstang7398@163. com, Department of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China), Caiyun Wang (wangcaiyun@chinastroke.net, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China), Chen Wang (wangchen-tr2002@163. com, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China), Bo Hu (hubo@hust.edu.cn, Department of Neurology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST), Wuhan, China), Chang Yin (yinchang@niha.org.cn, Dept of Healthcare Quality Monitoring and Control Research; National Institute of Hospital Administration. NHC: Beijing, China), Chuan-Qiang Pu(david,wang@chinastroke.net, Neurovascular Division, Department of Neurology, Barrow Neurological Institute, St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ 85013 USA), Dong-Shen Fan (dsfan@sina.com, Peking University Third Hospital), Dong Zhou (zhoudong66@ yahoo.de, Department of Neurology, Huaxi Hospital of Sichuan University), Gang Zhao (zhaogang@fmmu.edu.cn, Department of Neurology, Xijing Hospital, The 4th Military Medical University, Xi'an, China), Hai-Bo Wang (Haibo@mail.harvard. edu, Clinical Trial Unit, First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University), Hao Wang (Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China), Jing Wu (wujing@chinacdc.cn, National Center for Chronic and Non- communicable Disease Control and Prevention. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention), Jin-Sheng Zeng (zengis@pub.guangzhou. gd.cn, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhongshan University), Lan-Xia Gan (lanxia. gan@cltr.org, China Standard Medical Information Research Center. Shenzhen. China), Li Guo (quoli6@163. com, Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, China), Li- Min Wang (wanglimin@ ncncd.chinacdc.cn. National Center for Chronic and Noncommunicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention), Lin-Hong Wang (linhong@chinawch.org.cn, National Center for Chronic and Noncommunicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention), Li-Ying Cui (pumchcuily@sina.com, Peking Union Medical College Hospital), Mei-Jia Zhu (zhumeijia1818@163. com, Shandong Hospital of Thousand Buddha Mountain Affiliated to Shandong University), Ming Lou (loumingxc@vip. sina. com, Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine), Ning Wang (nwang900@vahoo.com, Department of Neurology and Institute of Neurology, First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China), Peng Xie (xiepeng@cqmu.edu.cn, Chongqing Medical University, Chongging, China), Shuo Wang (captain9858@vip.sina. com, Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China), Tao Feng (happyft@sina. com, Department of Neurology, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China), Tong Zhang (zt61611@sohu.com, Capital Medical University School of Rehabilitation Medicine, China Rehabilitation Research Center, Beijing, China), Wen-Hua Zhao (zhaowh@chinacdc.cn, National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention), Xu-Dong Ma (13910092912@ 163.com, National Health Commission of The People's Republic of China), Xun-Ming Ji (robertjixm@hotmail.com/jixunming@vip.163. com, Department of Neurosurgery, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital University of Medicine, Beijing, China), Yi-Ming Deng (parkerdeng@163.com, Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University), Yi Yang (doctoryangyi@163.com/doctor_ yangyi@hotmail.com, Department of Neurology, the First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China), Ying Shi (ying.shi@cltr. org, China Standard Medical Information Research Center, Shenzhen, China), Yu-Ming Xu (13903711125@126.com/ xym13903711125@126. com, Department of Neurology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China), Yu-Ping Wang (wangyuping01@sina.cn, Xuanwu Hospital of Capital Medical University), Zhong- Rong Miao (zhongrongm@163. com, Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China), Xiao-Yuan Niu (niuxiaoyuan1958@163. com, Department of Neurology, First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China).

Contributors Y-JW, Z-XL, H-QG and YZ contributed equally to this work. J-ZZ, Y-JW, X-DM and Z-XL designed the protocol and framework and also participated in the revision. YZ drafted stroke burden and risk factors in general population related sections. H-QG drafted inpatient characteristics, in-hospital management and outcomes, and interprovincial admission related sections. H-QG and DW integrated all sections for the manuscript. H-QG and QZ performed the statistical analysis based on data from the Hospital Quality Monitoring System and Chinese Stroke Center Alliance project. XY, XM, JJ and C-JW collected the data. DW, Z-XL, QZ, LL, Y-LW, YJ, HL, JW and W-ZW critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding This study was funded by Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, National Key R&D Programme of China (2017YFC1310901, 2016YFC0901002, 2017YFC1307905, and 2015BAl12B00), the Youth Programme (QML20180501), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81801152) and Beijing Talents Project (2018000021223ZK03 and 2018A13).

Map disclaimer The inclusion of any map (including the depiction of any boundaries therein), or of any geographic or locational reference, does not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of BMJ concerning the legal status of any country, territory, jurisdiction or area or of its authorities. Any such expression remains solely that of the relevant source and is not endorsed by BMJ. Maps are provided without any warranty of any kind, either express or implied.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable. Ethics approval This study does not involve human participants.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Hong-Qiu Gu http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1608-1856 Xing-Quan Zhao http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8345-5147 Hao Li http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8591-4105 Jing Jing http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9822-5758 An-Ding Xu http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3154-0985 Qiang Dong http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3874-0130

REFERENCES

- 1 Zhou M, Xue M. Annual data set on national mortality surveillance 2019. Beijing: China Science and Technology Press, 2020.
- 2 Ma Q, Li R, Wang L, et al. Temporal trend and attributable risk factors of stroke burden in China, 1990-2019: an analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. Lancet Public Health 2021;6:e897–906.
- 3 Wang Y, Cui L, Ji X, et al. The China national stroke Registry for patients with acute cerebrovascular events: design, rationale, and baseline patient characteristics. Int J Stroke 2011;6:355–61.
- 4 Li Z, Wang C, Zhao X, et al. Substantial progress yet significant opportunity for improvement in stroke care in China. Stroke 2016;47:2843–9.
- 5 Wang Y, Jing J, Meng X, et al. The third China national stroke registry (CNSR-III) for patients with acute ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack: design, rationale and baseline patient characteristics. *Stroke Vasc Neurol* 2019;4:158–64.
- 6 Wang Y-J, Li Z-X, Gu H-Q, et al. China stroke statistics 2019: a report from the National center for healthcare quality management in neurological diseases, China national clinical research center for neurological diseases, the Chinese stroke association, National center for chronic and non-communicable disease control and prevention, Chinese center for disease control and prevention and Institute for global neuroscience and stroke collaborations. *Stroke Vasc Neurol* 2020;5:211–39.
- 7 Wang L, Zhou B, Zhao Z, et al. Body-Mass index and obesity in urban and rural China: findings from consecutive nationally representative surveys during 2004-18. Lancet 2021;398:53–63.
- 8 Zhang M, Wu J, Zhang X, *et al*. Prevalence and control of hypertension in adults in China, 2018. *Chin J Epidemiol* 2021;42:1780–9.
- 9 Li Y, Teng D, Shi X, et al. Prevalence of diabetes recorded in mainland China using 2018 diagnostic criteria from the American diabetes association: national cross sectional study. BMJ 2020;369:m997.

Open access

- 10 Du X, Guo L, Xia S. Atrial fibrillation prevalence, awareness and management in a nationwide survey of adults in China. *Heart* 2021;107. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317915. [Epub ahead of print: 28 Jan 2021].
- 11 Zhang L, Zhao M-H, Zuo L, et al. China kidney disease network (CK-NET) 2015 annual data report. Kidney Int Suppl 2019;9:e1–81.
- 12 Gu H-Q, Yang X, Wang C-J, et al. Clinical characteristics, management, and in-hospital outcomes in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack in China. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2120745.
- 13 Wang Y, Li Z, Wang Y, et al. Chinese stroke center alliance: a national effort to improve healthcare quality for acute stroke and transient ischaemic attack: rationale, design and preliminary findings. Stroke Vasc Neurol 2018;3:256–62.
- 14 Li J, Li X, Wang Q, *et al.* ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in China from 2001 to 2011 (the China PEACE-retrospective acute

myocardial infarction study): a retrospective analysis of hospital data. *The Lancet* 2015;385:441–51.

- 15 Gu H-Q, Li D-J, Liu C, et al. %ggBaseline: a SAS macro for analyzing and reporting baseline characteristics automatically in medical research. Ann Transl Med 2018;6:326.
- 16 Allaire JJ, Ellis P, Gandrud C, et al. NetworkD3: D3 javascript network graphs from R. R package version 0.4, 2017. Available: https://CRN. R-project.org/package=networkD3 [Accessed 30 Mar 2022].
- 17 National Bureau of Statistics of China. *China statistical yearbook* 2019. Beijing: China Statistics Press, 2019.
- 18 Lackland DT, Roccella EJ, Deutsch AF, et al. Factors influencing the decline in stroke mortality: a statement from the American heart Association/American stroke association. Stroke 2014;45:315–53.
- 19 Gu H-Q, Li Z-X, Zhao X-Q, *et al.* Insurance status and 1-year outcomes of stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a registry-based cohort study in China. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e021334.